KING & SPALDING

King & Spalding LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036-4003

Tel: (212) 556-2100 Fax: (212) 556-2222 www.kslaw.com

Arthur Steinberg Direct Dial: 212-556-2158 asteinberg@kslaw.com

August 8, 2014

VIA E-MAIL TRANSMISSION AND ECF FILING

The Honorable Robert E. Gerber United States Bankruptcy Judge United States Bankruptcy Court Southern District of New York Alexander Hamilton Custom House One Bowling Green New York, New York 10004

> Re: In re Motors Liquidation Company, et al. Case No. 09-50026 (REG)

> > Letter Pursuant to July 11, 2014 Supplemental Scheduling Order Regarding Agreed Upon & Disputed Stipulations of Fact

Dear Judge Gerber:

King & Spalding LLP is co-counsel with Kirkland & Ellis LLP for General Motors LLC ("New GM") in the above-referenced matter. Pursuant to the Court's July 11, 2014 Scheduling Order, New GM hereby advises the Court as follows:

- 1. New GM, Designated Counsel/Groman Plaintiffs, and the GUC Trust/Unitholders have filed today "Agreed-Upon Stipulations of Fact" regarding the Four Threshold Issues.
- 2. New GM does not believe that there should be additional Threshold Issues added at this time.
- 3. New GM believes that each of the Four Threshold Issues are capable of resolution by this Court, without the need of any discovery. To be specific, New GM believes that all of the material facts have been agreed to in the "Agreed-Upon Stipulations of Fact" so that the Court is able to determine each of the Four Threshold Issues based on the briefs to be filed, and oral argument to be made, to Your Honor.

Honorable Robert E. Gerber August 8, 2014 Page 2

- 4. New GM notes that attached to the "Agreed-Upon Stipulations of Fact", are "Disputed Factual Stipulations". Generally, the "Disputed Factual Stipulations" fall into three categories:
- a) The first category relates to a situation where one party cites to the Valukas Report, and the other party considers the citation "incomplete", and that further commentary from the Report is necessary to properly put the factual stipulation into context. In this situation, the Disputed Factual Stipulations set forth the factual allegation and provides the additional facts in the Report necessary to put the factual allegation in its proper context.
- b) In the second category, the parties simply could not agree on the proper way to phrase the purported Factual Stipulation. New GM believes that none of these Disputed Factual Stipulations are material to the Court's determination of the Four Threshold Issues.
- c) The third, and by far the largest category, relates to the Groman Plaintiffs' disputed factual stipulations. In many of these factual stipulations, the Groman Plaintiffs had no citations for them, and they were simply "aspirational" facts. The Groman Plaintiffs knew that New GM would never agree to them, primarily because they were not true, or were conclusions of law. In addition, New GM and the Designated Counsel believed that these original requests had been withdrawn. They were only "put back on the table" by the Groman Plaintiffs late last night, after numerous group calls over the past few days where they were never mentioned. It is evident that most of these disputed factual stipulations have little to do with the Four Threshold Issues, and there assertion, appears to be part of an undisclosed litigation strategy by the Groman Plaintiffs.
- 5. New GM will be prepared to further address these issues at the upcoming August 18, 2014 conference.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Arthur Steinberg

Arthur Steinberg

AJS/sd

cc: Edward S. Weisfelner
Howard Steel
Elihu Inselbuch
Peter Van N. Lockwood
Sander L. Esserman
Jonathan L. Flaxer
S. Preston Ricardo
Matthew J. Williams
Lisa H. Rubin
Keith Martorana

Honorable Robert E. Gerber August 8, 2014 Page 3

> Daniel Golden Deborah J. Newman Jamison Diehl