KING & SPALDING

King & Spalding LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036-4003

Tel: (212) 556-2100 Fax: (212) 556-2222 www.kslaw.com

Arthur Steinberg
Direct Dial: 212-556-2158
asteinberg@kslaw.com

May 19, 2015

VIA E-MAIL TRANSMISSION AND ECF FILING

The Honorable Robert E. Gerber United States Bankruptcy Judge United States Bankruptcy Court Southern District of New York Alexander Hamilton Custom House One Bowling Green New York, New York 10004

Re: In re Motors Liquidation Company, et al.

Case No. 09-50026 (REG)

Letter Regarding Update on Related Proceedings

Dear Judge Gerber:

King & Spalding LLP is co-counsel with Kirkland & Ellis LLP for General Motors LLC ("New GM") in the above-referenced matter. Pursuant to Your Honor's Endorsed Order dated May 5, 2015 [Dkt. No. 13131], we write to update the Court regarding developments in proceedings relating to New GM's Motions to Enforce. Specifically, today, May 19, 2015, Judge Furman entered a Memorandum Opinion and Order in MDL 2543 denying the motions filed by counsel for the Elliott and Sesay Plaintiffs seeking leave to appeal orders of the Bankruptcy Court. A copy of the Memorandum Opinion and Order is attached hereto.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Arthur Steinberg

Arthur Steinberg

AJS/sd Encl. Honorable Robert E. Gerber May 19, 2015 Page 2

cc: Gary Peller

Edward S. Weisfelner

Howard Steel

Sander L. Esserman

Jonathan L. Flaxer

S. Preston Ricardo

Matthew J. Williams

Lisa H. Rubin

Keith Martorana

Daniel Golden

Deborah J. Newman

Jamison Diehl

William Weintraub

Steve W. Berman

Elizabeth J. Cabraser

Robert C. Hilliard

09-50026-reg Doc 13150 Filed 05/19/15 Entered 05/19/15 17:50:49 Main Document Pg 3 of 5

Case 1:15-cv-00772-JMF Document 10 Filed 05/18/15 Page 1 of 3

| UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK | X : | DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED: 05/18/2015 |
|--|-------------|---|
| IN RE: MOTORS LIQUIDATION COMPANY, | : | 15-CV-772 (JMF) 15-CV-776 (JMF) |
| f/k/a General Motors Corporation, | : | MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER |
| Debtors. | : : X | |

JESSE M. FURMAN, United States District Judge:

In each of these cases, Plaintiffs in ignition-switch-defect-related lawsuits against General Motors LLC ("New GM") move for leave to appeal, pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, Section 158(a)(3), from interlocutory orders of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York — specifically, from orders staying their cases pending adjudication of New GM's motion to enforce a Sale Order and Injunction entered July 5, 2009. Upon review of the parties' submissions (15-CV-772 Docket Nos. 3 & 4; 15-CV-776 Docket Nos. 2 & 3), the motions are denied, substantially for the reasons set forth in New GM's consolidated objection to the motions. (15-CV-772 Docket No. 4; 15-CV-776 Docket No. 3).

Indeed, if anything, the case for granting leave to appeal is considerably weaker today than it was when New GM filed its consolidated objections, for two reasons. First, on April 15, 2015, the Bankruptcy Court (Gerber, B.J.) ruled on New GM's motion to enforce. (09-BR-50026 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.) Docket No. 13109 ("Motion To Enforce Decision")). Final judgment should be entered shortly, and Lead Counsel in the multi-district litigation ("MDL") relating to the ignition-switch defects have indicated their intention to appeal. (*See* 14-MD-2543 Docket No. 909). Second, on April 24, 2015, this Court — which is presiding over the ignition-switch

09-50026-reg Doc 13150 Filed 05/19/15 Entered 05/19/15 17:50:49 Main Document

Pg 4 of 5

Case 1:15-cv-00772-JMF Document 10 Filed 05/18/15 Page 2 of 3

MDL — entered an Order indicating that, with one limited exception not relevant here, "any individual economic loss action" that is not otherwise dismissed (a group that includes the actions of Plaintiffs in these appeals) "shall be stayed" in light of the Consolidated Class Action Complaints that have been filed in the MDL and the anticipated amended Consolidated Class Action Complaints to be filed shortly. (Order No. 50 (14-MD-2543 Docket No. 875) ¶ 11).

In light of those developments, allowing Plaintiffs to appeal would not serve to "avoid protracted and expensive litigation." *Enron Corp. v. Avenue Special Situations Fund II, L.P. (In re Enron Corp.)*, No. 05-CV-1105 (SAS), 2006 WL 2548592, at *3 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 5, 2006) (internal quotation marks omitted). Instead, it would serve only to undermine orderly adjudication of the many cases in the MDL and final resolution of the issues addressed in the Bankruptey Court's April 15, 2015 ruling. The bottom line is that Plaintiffs will have ample opportunity to argue (in whatever forum is appropriate) that their claims are not subject to New GM's motion to enforce and, if they are correct, to pursue their claims in the MDL; there is no reason to allow them to pursue those arguments separately from the other plaintiffs in actions before the Bankruptcy Court or in the MDL. Accordingly, Plaintiffs cannot come close to demonstrating "the existence of exceptional circumstances to overcome the general aversion to piecemeal litigation and to justify a departure from the basic policy of postponing appellate review until after the entry of final judgment." *In re Enron Corp.*, 2006 WL 2548592, at *3 (footnotes and internal quotation marks omitted).

For the reasons stated above, Plaintiffs' motions for leave to appeal are DENIED. The

The Court entered the Order in response to a motion filed by counsel to Plaintiffs in these appeals and after giving him an opportunity to be heard about the contents of the Order. (See 14-MD-2543 Docket No. 809; see also 14-MD-2543 Docket No. 686, at 62-67). Notably, counsel did not object to the Order — and, in fact, joined in submitting a proposed order prior to the Order's entry that included the same provision staying Plaintiffs' actions. (14-MD-2543 Docket No. 809, Ex. A at 5-6).

09-50026-reg Doc 13150 Filed 05/19/15 Entered 05/19/15 17:50:49 Main Document Pg 5 of 5 Case 1:15-cv-00772-JMF Document 10 Filed 05/18/15 Page 3 of 3

Clerk of Court is directed to terminate 15-CV-772 Docket No. 3 and 15-CV-776 Docket No. 2 and to close both cases.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 18, 2015

New York, New York

JESSE M. FURMAN United States District Judge