Po Box 72200
Stockton Ca 95213

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

W R

General Mator UC

LEASE 69-5007E (REG)

Darryl Dunsmare

Plantiff

General Motors Stal

Defendants

# Ear Declatory Relief

The New GM Attests and Declares, it is not an accessory to any misconduct or crime Cam. Hed by the old GM Detendants Notify and ordered the Defendants to cooperate according to law with all Discovery requests by plaintiff to New Defendants (New GM) Per Penal Code 135 of California

COPTOP26-100 & DODES 499 MANGETONOS /18 EMEMPO 4051 & 12 12 12 12 12 13 15 14 Main Documents

1/2 (103 S C + 1660 75 1 ED 2d 675 5/1 rod)

V BUV NS (1976) 427 US 347 373 (96 9 C +

2673 49 L (2 2d 542 The Deprovents on of

The Constitutional Kight will cause

irrepairable harm by contoed sifering for

a wrong fil Conviction of Some one detailly

Mocent with contined reacception

I occlose under puralty of perjury The largony

9/22/15 DJDe

09-50026-reg Doc 18399 ~ Filed 20/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 3 of 81

PO BOX 32000

Stock to a Ca 95213

ONITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
IN RE
GUERAL Motors (IC Cuse 09-50026 (REG)
CONITION SUNTEN Integetion!
Darryl Dimmare
Plannt of
V
Corrol Motor Et al
Defendants

Notice of Pending Rélated Case

Related Case Onlier 6.PC PCI Related Case Corth eir Court of Appeals writ of Habres

alorlos ONO ellolo

0.09-50026-reg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 4 of 81 Po 130x ファンこう Stock トゥヘ Cc 95213

ONITED STATES BANKFURTEY COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE

General Motors CIC

Ignation Switch Citigation

Darryl Durenore

Plaintiff

General Motors at al

Defendants

objection To

schiebleing order

this law soit pertains to Plantills wrongful conviction in which plantill Seek boarstitutional Mendated Discovery from Defendants as to which he has not been able to obtain Concerning actual inscerce Claims in The Southern District of California Case 004197 GPC-PCL

19-50026-teg eta 2499 Filed 1002/15 Entered 10(12)(15 12)16:45 Main (Country)

File a state tort Case 045 638 Dursnave

V. GMC Cobel Viking Ct al in Solano Country

Court House State of California in order

To Secure Constitutionally Mandated Discovery

to support his Clarins of actual movere

n The 9th cir in order to obtain a COA

and Brief the issues

Plaint. It objects to the schedule order
Because he is a Pro se Litigant, incorrected
with Physical Disibilities requireing assistance
to Dress transfer Both it being extremly
Dufficult for plaintiff to timely persue
this litigation Country A

Any argument concurring The late filety of this objection is note according to Prison Mail box and That plaintil did not timely recieve the Denard Notice until 9/18/15 Doe to transfer to a Medical facility in Colifornia Colifornia Healthcare facility in Stockton Plaintilt Shookh thus Not be bound to the terms of the scheduling order

Jessues That should be Presented to The Backruptcy Court 109-50026-reg Doc 13499, Filed 10/02/13 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document This plantiff is seeking exculpatory Discovery which is constitutionally Mandated and interference in the persuit of Said Evidence would be a nanifest injustice of Keeping an otherwise Actually innocent individule incurcerated for a longer period

That has been directly caused by The Misconduct of General Motors Corp That fraudolartly sold Millions of Defective parts indangering the lives of Costoners and The General public and when The Company was finally rendered defunded by these fraudulant actions That bled The Company of all financial stab. 1. ty except its Brand name Conspired with the New GM N/4/A Motors Leguidation Company to Rid its self of Massive habilities Through Clever Man. polation of Roles of law Centrary to the rules of Prof Conduct 3-210 The Atlanties knowingly assisted each other and solicited The violation of Colifornia Rules of professional Conduct or State bor Act Bus & RC 116000-6328, Cal Rules. of Prof. Cond 1-120 by concealing suppressing Destroying and removing Evidence of Them fraudulent

Ca 09-80026-leg Doc \$3499 Miled \$10/02/25 Ephoved 20218/15 12018/15 Thair Dockmenteral

Py Nic and the plantiff unknowingly

Solicited the Court to Assist in these
illegal acts through Clever Manipulation
of the bankruptcy Laws of Liquidation
in violation of the Cal Renal Code 11 134-135

Price V State box (1082) 30 C3d 537-139

179 CR 914 which the Attorny's continue to ignore the Rule of Law Derying Plaintill Constitutionally Mandated discovery causeing this plaintill to Suffer a wrongful Conviction and a lengthy stay of nearceration while plaintill attempts to Convence the Court of his actual nno ence and the hunts of his claims youghting around The New york habor and world while plaintills life has been completely destroyed by the Ocheclasts Provider last acts and Clever on ethical Marphilan of the role of law Bus & Pc 6068(d) eal Rules of grot Cord 5-200 (B) Di Sabatino V State bor (1980) 27 CJd 159 162 CR 458
Despite The known plight of plaintiff Through Correspondence and bitigation The Defendants continue to thembo theor rose at the law and are notificant to the harm The are causery plantiff by

09-50026-reg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document, of of exclude ment Poponsity of the form of Documents of records that support petitioners claims of inocence and the Multination of The Vehncal which was evoncosty Declared a weapon Due to the actions of the Defendant's both new and old GM OWNERS as The Symple sale of The Corpotion Did not Viol the Defendants responsibilities to reveal Evidence of Crimis committed The are willing Co Conspiritors once They decided to destry, Alter and Conceal evidence They whented in The sale of GMC Corp n The form of Documents which revealed The Massive France old Gra Cann Hed

The Debudant's New GM can not argue they had no knowledge of alleged Defective parts or that Documents may not have been exculpatory evidence as supported in Exhibit B GM test drivers were away of the ignition problems in 2006 Two years prior to the Sale the NHTSA crash report Made Autian of the ignition problem the Debudants and Attornics Coold have reasonably argued ogainst liabilities if they had Chose to reveal and disclose they had Chose to reveal and Defective

09-50026-reg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Doquitent Constructions Constructions Chapter 11 does not relieve The New GM owners of habilities if they fail in Their ethical and legal duties to disclose acts of fraud and other criminal conduct which they were aware of before the time of sale at the time of Sale and after the Sale Nor of its responsibility to Release to Plaintil the Exalpatory evidence Odudants have knowledge of 'That' would redease Plaintill of his wrongful Contriction and sopport his actual mocence claims That The Defective helburctioning vehical not Petitions was responsible for the neight hold petitioner incorrected in Coscouleis GPC PCI Southern Distret of Colifornia for these reasons The schoole should be modified for this plaintiff as This Ludges own openion in Exhibit BP141 cite 41 stateing The Dende of relief would be harbestly unconscionable what could be More so Then the continued manceration of an actually unocent udwalde ewangly convicted because of The acts of Detendants to conceal excelpatory evidence the would relieve plantiff of the worstill consistion

I Declare under pualty of perjory the largone is true aborto DAD-c 6666

9500pt-reg Dob13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document AD 6237 C3A-V32 Pg 10 of 81

Po Box 32200 Stockton Ca 95213

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK IN RS ( 126 ) - 50026 (126) Beneral Motors (() 16 Mitian switch intigation Daryl Dusmar Plant, Cf General Motor et al Delendants Menaranden of Points and Authorities in Support of objection to scheduling order severely Ossabled and requires durly assistance
Physical incapicitation is Good Cause for ext and reliet from the schedulary order People V Croved? (1966) 65 CZd 199 53 CR 284

209-50026-feg ppc 13498/Eiled 14/08/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48/45, Malo pocumentord reports of 81 Pg 11 of 81

Howston V Lack 487

US 266 273-76 108 Sct 2379 (1988)

### cability

under California Law once New GM agained The assets and Documents of Miscanduct of old GM They forfiet Their hobility protection by Becomeng Co Conspiritors According Penal Code 135 To Destroy and Conceal Documentary evidence as the New GM Beludants in this case and were Accessories according to penal code 32 help the alleged Principal of the Crines old GM as defined in Penal code 31 to avoid trial and Conviction of Followers Camiffed by old GM

New GM Actions were an Accessory is Defined by Renal Code 32 and farketeel habitity protection the New defindants New GM Continue to Violate Colifornia Law of peral Code 135 by Concealing and refusing Destroying evidence of plaintills innocence in plaintills arimonal wrongful Conviction Case Doi197-6PC-PCI if Defendants are not accessories to the Crimes of old GM Then They Should immediately release all Exculpatory evidence and fallfull prompty all plaintill Discovery requests

Plant por 13480 Fled 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/25 12:48:45 Meintportment
Speak for trunselfs and That of Their legal
representatives who believe they can Day
representatives his right to Constitutionally
Marchated Discovery and Claim They are
example transmitted of product liabilities
example brakeing state local and constitutional
law to Conceal Exculpatory Evidence or destroy
it Thereby revealing thurselfs as accessories
to the principles crines Old Om Thereby
Nollifying Lability protection by Bakruptay
For these reason the briefing schedule
should be Modified for plantiff.

t Declare under penalty of payory the foregoing is true

alvolos D-1D-c

70f7

D. 69-50026-reg Doc 9.3499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 13 of 81
PO BOY 32700
Stockton Ca 95213

ENITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE
General Motor III

Ignition switch integration

Darryl Dursman

Plant II

General Motors et al

Deluctures

Toble of Content
and Points and
Authorities in
Support of objection
to schiedling order

People V Croved, (1966) 65 crd
199 53 cr 284 - - - - -

1062

Ŋ.

09-50026-reg Doc 13499. Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document 277-76 108 Sct 2379 (1988) . Culifor ria Pegal Code PC31,32,195 <u>Contents</u> O'bjertier Menorander -Lodgements. Seh bit A Medical order for assistance - - - - 6 pages Exphibit B General no on En History - - - 6 pages

aprolie DVD-6

Dc-09-10026-red Doc 19499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 15 of 81
PO Box 32200
Stackton Co. 45213

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK IN RS Case 09-50026 (REG) General Meeting U.C. 1 Gustion switch Citization) Dary 1 Dunner Maintill General Mostar et al Defendants Lodgementsi 1-1 Support of Objection to rehectileing order Exhibit A "Medical order for assistance - - - 6 pages

Exh. b.t B General into an Gra History - - - 6 pages to occurate these lodgement to be true and

9/20/18 01000

Pg 16 of 81 REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION PANEL (RAP) RESPONSE RAP Meeting Date: 9/02/2015 Date IAC Received 1824: 8/31/2015 1824 Log Number: CHCF-C-15-02227 Inmate's Name: DUNSMORE, DARRYL CDCR #: AD6237 Housing: FAC C3A-145 13ユ RAP Staff Present: ADA Coordinator J.A. Zamora, Custody Appeals Coordinator A. Infante, Doctor G. Williams, Health Care Appeals Representative, L. Donnelly, Registered Nurse M. Lowe ✓ Yes DPM. CCCMS Inmate Interviewed: □ No Disability Access or Discrimination Issue: SUBJECT STATES THAT HE IS HAVING DIFFICULTY IN TRANSFERRING, DRESSING OR ALTERATION OF CLOTHES WITH BUTTONS DUE TO HIS MEDICAL CONDITION. Interim Accommodations Needs Reviewed: X Interim Accommodation provided (List accommodation and date provided): ON 8/31/15, MEDICAL STAFF TO ASSIST SUBJECT ON AS NEEDED BASIS FOR TRANSFERRING/DRESSING/OBTAINING ITEMS. Summary of Inmate's 1824 Request: THE SUBJECT IS REQUESTING ASSISTANCE IN TRANSFERRING, DRESSING OR ALTERATION OF CLOTHES WITH BUTTONS, ASSISTANCE WITH PLACING SOCKS ON AND A THREE TIER SHELF. RAP is able to render a final decision. APPROVE WITH MODIFICATION ON 9/02/15, THE REASONABLE ACCOMODATION PANEL (RAP) HAS REVIEWED YOUR REQUEST. YOU HAVE BEEN APPROVED FOR A WHEELCHAIR ACCESSIBLE LOCKER. ON 8/31/15, THE CHCF APPEALS COORDINATOR INTERVIEWED YOU, IN WHICH YOU REQUESTED ASISSTANCE WITH PULLOVER SHIRTS, REACHING DOWN TO PLACE SOCKS AND SHOES ON AND GETTING ITEMS FROM THE FLOOR/SHELF. YOU FURTHER STATED WHEN YOU WARM UP, YOU GET BETTER MOVEMENT BUT IN THE MORNING AND AT NIGHT IT BECOMES MORE DIFICULT TO MOVE. YOU STATED THAT THE OFFICERS DO ASSIST YOU AND THAT YOU ARE ABLE TO ACCESS PROGRAMS AND SERVICES WITHOUT CONCERN. ON 8/31/15, THE CHCF APPEALS COORDINATOR INTERVIEWED CNA MOFOR. MOFOR STATED SHE ASSISTS YOU ON AN AS NEEDED BASIS. SHE HAS OBSERVED YOU MOVE IN OTHER ACTIVITIES SUCH AS FEEDING YOURSELF. SHE FURTHER STATED THAT SHE WILL INFORM OTHER STAFF TO ASSIST YOU IN THE INTERIM ON AN AS NEEDED BASIS. Additional information/instruction: THE SUBJECT IS ABLE TO SAFELY ACCESS ALL PROGRAMS, SERVICES AND ACTIVITIES. If you disagree with a health care decision made prior to or during the CDCR 1824 process, complete a CDCR 602-HC. If you disagree with any other RAP decision, complete a CDCR 602. Be sure to attach this document along with your CDCR 1824. J.A. Zamora Date sent to inmate: 9/2/2015 **ADA Coordinator** Signature Staff processing instructions: Does delivery of response meet criteria to establish effective communication? No X **Accommodation Order required:** Request alleges non-compliance of the Armstrong or Clark Remedial Plans. Allegation logged on Accountability Log. Distribution: Original - Inmate Copy - 1824 File Copy - Miscellaneous Section of C-File

Copy - Medical/Mental Health Staff

File 10/02/15, Entered 10/13/15

09-50026-rea

Doc 13499

09-50026-reg Doc 13499 F	iled 10/02/15 E		L3/15 1	.2:48:45	Main Doo	cument
State of California	Pg 17	' of 81		Department of	Corrections an	d Rehabilitation
REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION	INSTITUTION (sta	aff use only):	EC?		BER (staff use	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
REQUEST			Y/N	CHCF.	C-15-6	2227
CDCR 1824 (rev: ?/2014)	L		1 . /	D-4- D	4 0 - "	
*** TALK TO STAFF IF YOU I	HAVE AN EMERGEN	C,Y * * *		Date Hecer	ved by Staff	(staff use only):
<u>Do not</u> use a CDCR 1824 to request health camay delay your access to health care. Instead						
INMATE'S NAME (Print)	CDCR NUMBER	ASSIGNMEN	Т	<u> </u>	HOUSING	·····
DUNSMORE, D.	AD 6237		****		C3A-	-115
INSTRUCTIONS	1	<u> </u>	رور المراجع ا	P	<u> </u>	
You may use this form if you have a physical	al or mental disability o	or if vou believe	vou hav	e a physical (	or mental dis	ability.
You may use this form to request a speci		و الماريخ الما	7			•
participate in a program, service, or activity.	You may also use th					
Submit this form to the Custody Appeals Off						
<ul> <li>The CDCR 1824 is a request process, not 1824 to request a response for a group of</li> </ul>						
appeal (CDCR 602, or 602-HC if disagreein					ou disagree v	with, Submit an
WHAT CAN'T YOU DO / WHAT IS THE PRO				•		
WHAT CAN'T YOU DO / WHAT IS THE PRO	JBLEM:	<b>7</b>				<del></del>
		***		_/_		
		~ <del>~~</del> ^				
WHY CAN'T YOU DO IT:		, <i>y</i>				
		1 KV			<del></del>	
		Mind			<del></del>	
	- 65				<del> </del>	<del></del>
WHAT DO YOU NEED:	55					
	<del>/                                    </del>				<del></del>	
		<del></del>				
Miliah of the fall and the fall			-		form if you ne	ed more space)
Which of the following best describes you		-		-		
-		culty hearing		culty talking		lney dialysis
☐ Difficulty using arms/hands ☐ Difficulty	riearning Li Dim	culty thinking or	understa	inding I	☐ Mental imp	pairment
Other Disability (briefly describe):						
DO YOU HAVE ANY DOCUMENTS THAT I	DESCRIBE YOUR D	ISABILITY?		Yes □	No □ N	ot Sure □
(List and attach documents if available, including	: 1845, 7410, 128-C)					
(- molecule)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
I understand staff have a right to interview or	evamine me, and my	failure to coor	perate m	ay causa thi	e request to	ha disapproved
Tanasistana stan navo a rigin to interview of	chamme me, and my	·	Jerale III	ay cause un	s request to	be disapproved.
INMATES CONTAINS		······································	_			<del></del>
INMATE'S SIGNATURE				DA	ATE SIGNE	J
Assistance completing this form provided by:	Last Name	<del></del>	irst Name		Olass -	turo
	Last Name	<b></b>	ırsı Name	•	Signa	ture
$\square$ IAP is not required as the CDCR 1824 co	entaine					
no disability access or discrimination issue		Person mak	ing deter	mination	т	itle
,		. 5.5511 man				1610

09-50026-reg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 18 of 81

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

HOURS/WATCH

HOUSING

### REASONABLE MODIFICATION OR ACCOMMODATION REQUEST

programs of a public entity, or be subjected to discrimination.

CDCR 1824 (Rev. 10/06)

INMATE/PAROLEE'S NAME(PRINT)

qualified/eligible to participate.

DELANTMENT	OF CORRECTIONS AND	KENADILITATIO
INSTITUTION/PAROLE REGION:	LOG NUMBER:	CATEGORY:
CHCF	CHCF-C- 15-02227	18. ADA

**ASSIGNMENT** 

NOTE: THIS FORM IS TO BE USED ONLY BY INMATES/PAROLEES WITH DISABILITIES

In processing this request, it will be verified that the inmate/parolee has a disability which is covered under the Americans With Disabilities Act.

In accordance with the provisions of the Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA), no qualified individuals with a distibility shall, on the basis of disability, be excluded from participation in, or be denied the benefits of the services activities, or

You may use this form to request specific reasonable modification or accommodation which, if aranted would enable you to participate in a service, activity or program offered by the Department/institution/facility, for which you are otherwise

**CDC NUMBER** 

AD6237

within 15 working days of receipt at the Appeals Coordinator's (do not agree with the decision on this form, you may pur constitutes a decision at the FIRST LEVEL of review.	Appeals Coordinator's Office. A decision with be rendered Office and the completed form will be returned by you. If you raue further review. The decision rendered on this form (Parolee Appeal Form (CDC 602) and complete section "F" of
Submit the appeal with attachment to the Appeals Coordin rendered on this request form.	ator's Office within 15 days of your receipt of the decision
If you are not satisfied with the SECOND LEVEL review deci	sion, you may request THIRD LEVEL review as instructed on
MODIFICATION OR ACCOM	MODATION REQUESTED
DESCRIPTION OF DISABILITY: Anky Losins S	pordy 1.ts Dsyphia
WHAT VERIFICATION DO YOU HAVE OF YOUR DISABILITY?	which Records, outcome
October 1	
DESCRIBE THE PROBLEM:	
I have a Ray Decision de	nying My Previous Requests
Assistance when Requested S	tak are refuseine to Assist with
	dress shorts sock due to loss
use Locker Property all over	Floor 8te get out bed.
WHAT SPECIFIC MODIFICATION OF ACCOMMODATION IS REQU NEED Afternose Locker and ass	
transfer and Dress and una	bess as Rap Previously
end strays for socks it	of clothes with button
INMATE/PAROLEE'S SIGNATURE	S/30/15 DATE SIGNED
	•

09-50026-req Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/1 REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION PANEL RAP Meeting Date: 9/09/2015 Date IAC Received 1824: 9/4/2015 1824 Log Number: CHCF-C-15-02280 Inmate's Name: DUNSMORE, DARRYL CDCR #: AD6237 Housing: FAC C3A-115 13 2 **RAP Staff Present: ADA Coordinator** J.A. Zamora, Custody Appeals Coordinator A. Infante. Doctor G. Williams. Health Care Appeals Representative, , K. Martin, D. Nelson, Registered Nurse M. Lowe Inmate Interviewed: □ No ⊠ Yes DPM, CCCMS Disability Access or Discrimination Issue: SUBJECT STATES THAT HE IS HAVING DIFFICULTY IN TRANSFERRING, DRESSING OR ALTERATION OF CLOTHES WITH BUTTONS DUE TO HIS MEDICAL CONDITION. Interim Accommodations Needs Reviewed: X Interim Accommodation provided (List accommodation and date provided): ON 8/31/15. MEDICAL STAFF TO ASSIST SUBJECT ON AS NEEDED BASIS FOR TRANSFERRING/DRESSING/OBTAINING ITEMS. Summary of Inmate's 1824 Request: THE SUBJECT IS REQUESTING ASSISTANCE IN TRANSFERRING, DRESSING OR ALTERATION OF CLOTHES WITH BUTTONS, ASSISTANCE WITH PLACING SOCKS ON AND A THREE TIER SHELF. RAP is able to render a final decision. **DISAPPROVED** ON 9/09/15, THE REASONABLE ACCOMODATION PANEL (RAP) HAS REVIEWED YOUR REQUEST. DUPLICATE ISSUE REFER TO CHCF #CHCF-C-15-02227 Additional information/instruction: THE SUBJECT IS ABLE TO SAFELY ACCESS ALL PROGRAMS, SERVICES AND **ACTIVITIES.** If you disagree with a health care decision made prior to or during the CDCR 1824 process, complete a CDCR 602-HC. If you disagree with any other RAP decision, complete a CDCR 602. Be sure to attach this document along with your CDCR 1824. J.A. Zamora Date sent to inmate: 9/9/2015 Signature ADA Coordinator Staff processing instructions: Does delivery of response meet criteria to establish effective communication? No **Accommodation Order required:** Request alleges non-compliance of the Armstrong or Clark Remedial Plans. Allegation logged on Accountability Log.

Copy - Miscellaneous Section of C-File

Copy - Medical/Mental Health Staff

Distribution: Original - Inmate

Copy - 1824 File

09-50026-reg Doc 13499 F			13/15	12:48:45	Main Document
State of California		0 of 81	,		Corrections and Rehabilitation
REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION	I INSTITUTION (si	taff use only):	EC?	1	BER (staff use only):
REQUEST CDCR 1824 (rev: ?/2014)			Y/N	CHCF-	C-15-02280
*** TALK TO STAFF IF YOU	HAVE AN EMERCEN	ICV * * *	1	Date Recei	ved by Staff (staff use only):
	•	•	<b>. T</b> his		
<u>Do not</u> use a CDCR 1824 to request health of may delay your access to health care. Instea	d, submit a CDCR 736	62 or a CDCR 60	02-HC.		
INMATE'S NAME (Print)	CDCR NUMBER	ASSIGNMEN <sup>*</sup>	T		HOUSING
Dunsmore, D.	A06237		لكنير	<u> </u>	C3 A-115
INSTRUCTIONS					
<ul> <li>You may use this form if you have a physic</li> <li>You may use this form to request a spec</li> </ul>			V 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10		-
participate in a program, service, or activity	. You may also use the				
<ul> <li>Submit this form to the Custody Appeals O</li> <li>The CDCR 1824 is a request process, not</li> </ul>		All CDCB 1824	requests	्रि will receive	a response. <b>Do not</b> use an
1824 to request a response for a group of appeal (CDCR 602, or 602-HC if disagreeing appeal (CDCR 602, or 602-H	of inmates. If you ha	ve received an	1824 de	cision that y	
WHAT CAN'T YOU DO / WHAT IS THE PR	OBLEM: VA				
		1			
	- Ale	<del>P</del>			
WHY CAN'T YOU DO IT:					<del></del>
	6 1				
	38	·····			
WHAT DO YOU NEED:					
	,				
			(use th	e back of this	form if you need more space)
Which of the following best describes you	ır disability that cau	sed you to file	this re	quest:	
	•	ficulty hearing		culty talking	☐ On kidney dialysis
☐ Difficulty using arms/hands ☐ Difficult	y learning Dif	ficulty thinking or	underst	anding	☐ Mental impairment
Other Disability (briefly describe):					
DO YOU HAVE ANY DOCUMENTS THAT	DESCRIBE YOUR D	ISABILITY?		Yes □	No □ Not Sure □
(List and attach documents if available, including	a: 1845, 7410, 128-C):				
}					
I understand staff have a right to interview or	examine me, and m	y failure to coop	perate n	nay cause th	is request to be disapproved
•				•	
INMATE'S SIGNATURE	<del></del>		-	D	ATE SIGNED
Assistance completing this form provided by					·
	Last Name	F	irst Nam	e	Signature
□ IAD is not required so the CDOD 400:				<del></del>	
☐ IAP is not required as the CDCR 1824 or no disability access or discrimination issu		Person maki	ing dete-	mination	Title

09-50026-reg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 21 of 81

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

HOURS/WATCH

HOUSING

## REASONABLE MODIFICATION OR ACCOMMODATION REQUEST

CDCR 1824 (Rev. 10/06)

INMATE/PAROLEE'S NAME(PRINT)

eresyzni

INSTITUTION/PAROLE REGION:	LOG NUMBER:	CATEGORY:
CtcF-	C-15-02280	18. ADA

**ASSIGNMENT** 

NOTE: THIS FORM IS TO BE USED ONLY BY INMATES/PAROLEES WITH DISABILITIES

In processing this request, it will be verified that the inmate/parolee has a disability which is covered under the Americans With Disabilities Act.

CDC NUMBER

shall, on the programs of a You may u you to particip qualified/eligit Submit this within 15 work do not agree constitutes a C To proceed the appeal for Submit the rendered on the Submit the programs of the submit subm	basis of disability, be excluded from participation in, or be denied the benefits of the services activities, or a public entity, or be subjected to discrimination.  Is this form to request specific reasonable modification or accommodation which, if granted would enable pate in a service, activity or program offered by the Department/institution/facility, for which you are otherwise ble to participate.  Is completed form to the institution or facility's Appeals Coordinator's Office. A decision will be rendered king days of receipt at the Appeals Coordinator's Office and the completed form will be returned to you. If you with the decision on this form, you may pursue further review. The decision rendered on this form decision at the FIRST LEVEL of review.  It to SECOND LEVEL, attach this form to an Inmate/Parolee Appeal Form (CDC 602) and complete section "F" of m.  It appeals with attachment to the Appeals Coordinator's Office within 15 days of your receipt of the decision his request form.  The appeal with the SECOND LEVEL review decision, you may request THIRD LEVEL review as instructed on
	MODIFICATION OR ACCOMMODATION REQUESTED
DESCRIPTION	of Disability: bulylosing Spordy (tis
WHAT VERIFIC	CATION DO YOU HAVE OF YOUR DISABILITY? Led cal Kewals
DESCRIBE TH	was told by Appeal Coordinator I would, we interest accommodations of 3 tear locker
WHAT SPECIF	FIC MODIFICATION OR ACCOMMODATION IS REQUESTED?
grov.a	Le Record So I recove interim accommedation  MATE/PAROLEE'S SIGNATURE  DATE SIGNED

The massive ongoing recall of General Motors vehicles with faulty ignition switches (and the dozen years the company spent *not* issuing a recall) has made headlines, launched lawsuits, angered legislators, but many consumers who don't own a recalled car have shrugged and said, "Glad I don't drive one of them."

One small defect in a part that controls a car's ignition switch: that's what's at the root of a massive car recall linked to at least thirteen deaths. The cars all came from General Motors brands sold for most of a decade — and as we now know, someone, somewhere knew about the fatal potential of that defect for as long as it existed. The ignition switch problem affects everyone who drives a GM car. But the way that the defect was allowed to remain in the production line for so long affects nearly everyone who drives or shares roads with cars — whether you've ever set foot in a GM vehicle or not.

The GM ignition switch recall started in February with an announcement about 778,000 compact cars. Over the months since then, the recall list has expanded to include about 2.6 million Saturn Ion (2003-2007), Chevrolet Cobalt (2005-2010), Chevrolet HHR (2006-2011), Pontiac G5 (2007-2010), Pontiac Solstice (2006-2010), and Saturn Sky (2007-2010) vehicles. (GM has also recalled another three million cars this year for other, unrelated issues.)

#### MORE THAN JUST A RECALL

The recall, though, isn't just a recall. It's led to an investigation that has shown that GM and the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) were, between them, peripherally aware of the defect for over ten years. Let's take a quick, summary review of key moments in the timeline of events:

- •2001-2002: The first report of a switch problem shows up in preproduction notes for the 2002 Saturn Ion.
- •2005: GM realizes the Chevy Cobalt has a problem with the ignition switch and opens repeated engineering inquiries, but takes no action.
- •2006: GM test drivers become aware of the ignition problem. GM makes some repairs, but mixes older, defective part and newer, improved part under same item number, causing years' worth of confusion.

- •2007: A NHTSA crash report makes mention of the ignition switch turning itself off. NHTSA proposes opening an investigation, but decides not to.
- .2010: After more accidents and deaths, NHTSA once again considers, but then decides against, opening a formal investigation.
- .2012-2013: GM internal testing finds that no, really, these ignition switches are broken.
- •2014: GM finally issues recalls due to the faulty part, totaling roughly 2.6 million vehicles.

At least 13 people were killed (and possibly more) due to this particular defect in that 13-year span, and dozens of other drivers complained about it. But between the start of the problem in 2001 and the enormous and very public recalls in 2014, a few things changed at GM. And by "a few things," we mean "everything."

GENERAL MOTORS IS DEAD. LONG LIVE GENERAL MOTORS.

The early years of the 21st century were not among GM's best. It's easy to see why a GM at the time was so desperately concerned with cutting costs wherever possible: after a high point in 1999, their annual sales numbers began to drop slowly but steadily from 2000 onward. They posted significant losses in 2005, 2006, and 2007, and so were already off to a rough start in 2008.

Then came, well, 2008 — a now-infamous year of domestic and international economic crisis. In the space of less than a year, everything tanked: the housing market collapsed, giant megabanks began flailing wildly, energy prices jumped, and the automotive industry found itself in dire straits.

The combination of a less-than-great half-decade and an international near-collapse of the industry was a one-two punch that GM couldn't withstand. In Nov. 2008 the company announced that without drastic action, they'd be out of cash and out of business by the middle of 2009.

Ford, Chrysler, and GM all testified before Congress in Dec. 2008 to the effect that the U.S. car industry, that most American of industries, was going to collapse in on itself without an infusion of federal bailout cash. Congress declined to hand over money, but GM did get a "bridge loan" from the Bush administration to keep it afloat while a longer-term solution

could be worked out.

Between Dec. 2008 and March 2009, things failed in any way to get better for GM as they kept moving through a back-and-forth of proposed business plans to and with the federal government. On March 30, 2009, the Obama administration announced that the government would not be handing GM a mountain of cash, but that a detailed restructuring plan including Chapter 11 bankruptcy had been worked out in order to save the company. GM officially filed for Chapter 11 reorganization in a New York court on June 1, 2009.

Under the terms of that Chapter 11 filing, a new corporate entity called NGMCO Inc. — the "new" GM corporation — purchased all of GM's "continued operational assets." As part of the terms of sale, NGMCO, Inc., changed its name to "General Motors" and kept all of GM's brands, logos, and trademarks. In one fell swoop, GM ceased to be GM, the troubled corporation with a pile of liabilities, and became GM, the newer, leaner corporation that conveniently left all its liabilities sitting in a trash heap near the door when it walked out.

As for that mess next to the door, the "Old GM" still had to clean it up. Having let the New GM walk off with its name and branding, the remnants of Old GM became the Motors Liquidation Company. That company has been working its way through the bankruptcy, liability, and debtor process ever since.

The New GM, about 60% owned by the U.S. Department of the Treasury, promptly shed jobs, dealerships, manufacturing facilities, and car brands. (Remember Pontiac, Saturn, Hummer, and Saab?) And most critically, they also shed liability for anything they did back when they were still the original GM.

#### LEAVING BEHIND THE BLAME

Several states' attorneys general, perhaps having a collective moment of clairvoyance, filed an objection to the liability exception part of GM's bankruptcy agreement, saying that potential later accident victims could lose "key legal rights" if it went through. (The Wall Street Journal ran a detailed explainer of the relevant legal aspects back in 2009.) Under the pressure, GM eventually agreed to somewhat expand the scope of its liability to accident victims.

The gist of the change meant that, "[C]onsumers driving old GM cars who

get in accidents during GM's several weeks in bankruptcy court, or after the new GM emerges, will be able to sue new GM."

At the time, then-Connecticut Attorney General Richard Blumenthal, one of the attorneys general who filed the objection, said:

"This agreement captures a very significant group of claims that wouldn't have been covered and is a very significant victory for consumer advocates. It may seem symbolic, but it will be very real and important to people who suffered injuries during this period of time, and it sets a highly significant precedent."

That GM product liability pact is now front and center in the wake of the recall. GM is trying to get lawsuits against it held on the grounds of the restructuring, claiming liability protection.

Former Connecticut AG Blumenthal is now United States Senator Blumenthal, and he's no less concerned about the new GM's liabilities for the old GM's actions than he was in 2009. In late March, he pressed the Justice Department to make sure that GM stays liable for GM's actions. At the time, he told Consumerist, "There is a very powerful legal and moral responsibility on the part of the federal government to intervene here. They enabled GM to emerge from reorganization with very extensive protections from legal responsibility for the death, injuries, and damage their defective vehicles caused."

#### **NOW WHAT?**

Well, that's really the billion-dollar question.

It will take months, if not years, for the Justice Department to carry out its criminal investigationand determine if charges are warranted. Getting the various civil suits sorted out will probably take even longer still. GM, in some way, will need to compensate the car owners, accident victims, and surviving families of those who were killed due to this error. That complicated question of how much legal liability GM actually bears for their own error and cover-up will be a key factor in every proceeding. But the most pressing question for the future isn't about GM at all. Although this recall is massive, and GM's particular tie to American taxpayers and the federal government is at play, this defect and this question of liability aren't the central issues we're facing. Instead, the real problem that the GM disaster has brought to light is that

nearly 250 million registered cars on the road in the United States... and 51 ODI employees to make sure that we all stay safe around them. Of those 51 employees a little over half are investigators, Bloomberg reports. It makes for a ratio of about 8.6 million cars on the road for every defect investigator NHTSA has. The agency also receives more than 40,000 consumer complaints per year — and of course, not every consumer who has reason to make a safety complaint ever bothers to do so. NHTSA's 2015 budget for investigating defects is about \$10.6 million, and it's been in that \$10 million ballpark for years.

With those odds, it starts to feel surprising that NHTSA actually catches as many problems as itdoes.

28 investigators can only capture so much data first-hand. In order to act, NHTSA relies on data from the car companies themselves. When the companies take their own sweet time providing it, as GM has been doing, the safety review process hits a bottleneck... and just stops going anywhere at all.

So where do we sit today?

Consumers are aware of the problems, but the defective GM cars are still on the road. GM is paying meager fines of \$7000 per day (from their 2013 revenue of \$3.8 billion) for each day theymiss their deadline for providing data to NHTSA. And thirteen people who were driving or riding in cars that had one small, faulty part in them are still dead.

Whatever this investigation uncovers about this particular defect, this tragic incident spotlights the fact that there are systemic problems with carmakers for whom lives are but data points on a cost/profit sheet and with regulators who ignore their own investigators' reports. Until those underlying issues are remedied, it's only a matter of time until another vehicle with a deadly defect is not only allowed to hit the road, but stay there for far too long.

Parts 50026 February 99 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document AD6237 C3A-137 Pg 27 of 81
PO Box 32200 Stockton C4 95213

UNITED STATES BANKROPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOURK IN RE General Motor UC USENITION SWITCH Litigation Darry Donsmore Plantiff General Motors etal Defendants porcenut of injunction

People V Lee 1970 3 CA3d 514 526 83 CR

1082

09-50026-reg Doc	13499 Filed 10/0	2/15 Entered , Pg 28 of 81	1 10/13/15 1	.2:48:45 Ma	lin Document といっしく	30
•		·	) 24		306 9	4
175 CR 61		_ ~	~			`
1mbler V	Pachman	1976	424	45		
409 225	47 ( 68	28 1	28 141	, 96 5	ct exy.	- 5
US V Bagl	ey (1985)	473 0	5 667	676		
87 L Ed	7cl 481	490 10	5 5 4	+ 33	75	- 5
Brady v						
87 10 6	13 721	5 83 5	ct 1,	194 -		- 5
ای محمور	V Super	01 600	- f (190	۵۱)		
54 C3d						5
		end Co	•			
PC 31	`					
						بر ر
PC 37					_	3
PC 135		_	_			5
(		_	<b>L</b> a			
. 4		contr	17		<b>P</b> a	~
object.					Pages _ Pages	
Menorar	4					
lodgen	stru				Poges 3	<del>`</del>
		Lodger	, tun			
Exh.b.t	<u>A</u>				7 4	. <b>.</b>
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	it seso	145638	_		30 page	
Exh. b.t	nchance				_ 1 Pag	re
Exh is.	+ c,		+		3c pag	ዺ፟፟፟፟
Figh ib.	in teal	, 00CV	mess all T		, ,	,
			2120/12	240-	201	r2

ついてまり26-res Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 29 of 81 Po 30x 32200 Stack to へ Ca 95217

UNITED STATES BANKRUPCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK IN BS Core 09-50026 ( nes) Genral Motors (15 Ignation switch Citigation range of lyna of Plant, If General Motor Etal Defendants objection to any Notion to strike, DISMISSa injunction upon

Introduction

This plaintiffs Complaint Exhibit A Directectly attacks The Conduct of The New GM in Solano Superior Court State of Cc \ 0950026-reg Doe 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45. Main Document ,

Lobel, Viking 94 al

this plantiff contacts that the Debadants
Present Conduct has and is voiding their
Liability protection when the New GM began
to violate state local and constitutional
law of Colifornia when they failed to
Release Disclose or hard over Exculpatory
Constitutionally Mandated Discovery and
began to destroy, or conceal Documentary
evidence petitioner has been forced to
seek through his state tort after
failure to respond to correspondence request
for Documentary Evidence in pocession of
the Debadants Exhibit B

once the Defendants New 6th began
to Conduct themselfs in this manner Depriving
Petitioner of Constitutionally Mandate Discovery
which would Prove his actual mocence
claims in his writ of Habeas on Counction
in the Southern District of California
Case opiners GPC PCI The Dew Defendants
became Accessories under California (aw
Penal Code 37 To the principle Delendants
The old 6th as Defined by Penal Code 31
Voiding Their otherwise Lightimete

09-50026-reg. Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Co. 1. The Se acts To deprive petitioner of Evidence in Their Possession and knowledge of Successor of old am Assets in the form of exculpatory Documentation that support The Malfunction Plantits vehical the Alleged weapon as The Cause of the incident and not intent of the plaintil in the alleged coininal conduct which plaintill now only continues to suffer from because The acts of the New GM to Conceal Destroy Ruidence in violation of Penal Code 135 Thereby concealing The action of The principle as Defined in Pual Code 31 to prevent prosecution in a court establishs the New BM Defendant as Accessories as Defined n Penal Code section or forficting NEW GM'S Liebility protection, Dismissal of This Plaintill action and any motion to strike of stay this plaintills Action or claims Nor Can any injunction supercede Plaintills legal right to the evidence

09-50026-reg poc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15/12:48:45 Main Document of Question which \$932 95 Entered 10/13/15/12:48:45 Main Document of Question which \$932 95 Entered any Continued refusal Clearly supports plaintiffs Claims of Fraud neloding to this court where they stage not to be in concert with the old an oetendants actions yet continue to attempt to protect the principle cause the old an oetendant with actions that Define and Describe the New actions that Define and Describe the New actions of Defendants as accessories in the old an oetendants as accessories in the old and Defendants Concluct according penal Code 32

As Declared by The New EM Defendants
They became successor in 'of the old EM
Defendants assets which hoppened to be
Documentary evidence which supports
Plaintiffs Claims of Actual inference in
which petitioner seeks to over two his
wrong ful Conviction

However at This time it is The New GM Deludants choice to refuse The Discovery and evidence request in Violation penal Gode 135 That They know is to be used in Trial and are Concealing and Destroying in in Violation of Celifornia and Constitutional Cau

09-50026-reg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Placent of also argues Detectants dud not Provide Notice to individules housed in county Jail Thereby Depriving atleast this Plaint. It of his Due process to file a claim in a timely Maner Richabet C Defendants should of Made attempts to have douled innotes both hed with Posted henorendons in Country duis Defendants should allow and compensate This Plaintill on any late fileing as the declared they would provide to The court Conpesation Plantiff Controls New on veledants
Action have borred then from taking
any action against This complaint Through The Bonkrupey Coart

Plaintiff futur reguest a Declatary order Directory New On Defendants from Destroying or concealing any More Documentary encluce in this and plaintiffs Case in question

# Declare under puoloty of perjung
the foregoing is thre
about 5 DAD—e

Dar 09-50026769 Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 34 of 81

PO BOX 32200

Stockton Ca 952/3

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE
GENERAL Metar (C

IGNITION SWITCH Litigation

Darry Downere

Plantiff

General Motors et al

Deheclants

Memorandom of Points and

Authorities in support of objection

To any Motor to savike, stay 1

Injunction upon this plaintiff

Plaintiffs Complaint attacks Conduct of Plaintiffs Complaint attacks Conduct of The (New GM Ochendents) who according to State, local and Constitutional law established Thenselfs as independent actors Accessories Thenselfs as independent actors Accessories to The Crimes and Misconduct of The

09-50026-reg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 (Main Document Principle actors OPE 35 8181 Det machines) as Detined in Colifornia Penal Coole Statute.

Peral Code 31 Principles Defined Who are principles All persons concerned in The Commission of a Crime wether it Be I clary of Mischenener and wether They Directly Commit The act Constituting The office or aid and abet in its commission and all persons courseling, adviseing)

Peral Code 32 Accessories defined

Frey Person who after a belong has been committed

hobors coneeds or aids a principal in such felong

with the intent that said principal May avoid

or escape from arrest trial Conviction or punishment

hove: y knowledge that said principal has comitted

such felong or has been charged with such

belong or Convicted there of is an accessory

to such felong

According to PC 31 The New GM established it self as Accessories after hoveing knowledge of Sand principals crimes which it extented to in its own fileings with this court with in the newing of PC 72 See any Brack Metion filed by Deladants

when they independently choose to Conceol Destrong Documbary evidence after plaintiff Mark regrest and botice of pending investigation and trial action with in the Muning of

Pencal Coole 135 Destroy OR CONCEAING Documentary evidence

(every person who, knowing That any Book, paper record notroment in writeing or other matter or thing is about to be produced in eviduce upon any trial inquiry or muestigation what ever authorized by Taw willfully destoys of conceals the Same with intent their by to Prevent it from being produced is guilty)

Plaintilf Made the inquiry Through Correspondence Notified the New BM of Pending actions according leval Code 135 and Defendants New Gram Made independent Action to Deprive plaintill of Constitutionally Mudated Discovery Conceal Destroy said documentary evidence which support Petitioners Claim in a writ of habeas Concerning the wrong ful Conviction of one actually innocent Case outigy 6PC PCI Southern District of California Said Docomentary Evidence Supports The Merits of plaintiff's Claims That The incident was not intuitional on plaintiff Part but occurred because The Malfonction of The product Sold by The old GM Ochedon'ts and Their Misconduct

09-50026-reg poc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main pocument
Placint. HS (au se Por of Societion Meet Conduct of Em Defendants
attacks the present conduct of Em Defendants
(the New Em Defendants) which action
(causes Then to become Accessories to the old
Em Defendants) conduct (the principals) when
Em Defendants) conduct (the principals) when
the New Defendants (New Em) took the present
action to conceal Destroy Exculpatory evidence
of the (old Em Defendants) conduct which
Plaintiffs Complaint was filed see Exhibit
Plaintiffs Complaint

Plaintill Contends that Il The New GM Debendants had simply compliced with plaintiff request concerning evidence of exculpatory Noture of Malfonctioning Defective parts New GMS cibbolities protection would be in full force against plaintiff and there would be no couse of action but New GM Dehndants did not choose That action but instead to conceal and destroy constitutionally madeted Discovery and attempts to Manipulate This court into an accessory as well to its court into an accessory as well to its (course) for The Odudant New GM Must Disclose People u Meredith (1981) 29 C3d 682 696 175 CR 612

09-50026-reg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Sparsed by in Lad at Three time of Notice to file a Claim with the old GA Defendant Plantité orgues Defendents duch not Provide tre Oiligence to individules in dail Concerning Due process rights as Plaintill access to TV, Kadiopprint are all restricted The Magnitude of The Misconclused was massive mough to move for menorandum postrig in netitution were such restrictions exist imbler v Pachman (1976) धर्म एड ५०५ १२५ ५७ । इस ररी १८४ १५१ 96 5 cf a84 /US U Bogley (1985) 473 65 667 476 87 1 6d rel 481 490 105 5 ct 3375 Brady V Maryland (1963) 373 48 83 87 10 ( 2d 3 d 215 83 S ct 1194 see final code 1054(e) (Discovery Beguined by mitech states Constitution Mist occur) trazaga 285 (RZ31 Plantit argues that Deick of any relief would be a Manifestation of injustice and Man, festly unconsiderable I Declare incher Paralty of pryary The Foregoing is true

4/22/10 D-10-9 5085 Dargo 50026-reb Dob 13489 we filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 39 of 81

Po Box 32200

Stockton Cu 95217

UNATED STATES BANK RUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
IN RG
General Motor UC
16 Nition switch intigation
Darryl Duranare
Plaintiff
V
General Motors et al
Defendants

### Superior Court of California County of Solano



CLERK OF THE COURT

July 22, 2015

Ulonda Hill Trust Account Office 1600 California Drive Vacaville, CA 95687

Re: DARRYL DUNSMORE V GMC, LOBEL, VIKING, ET AL.

Solano Superior Court Case No. FCS045638

Dear Ms Hill:

Enclosed please find the following documents in regards to the above case:

1. Initial/Subsequent Billing Statement

If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to contact me at (707) 207-7330.

Sincerely,

Legal Process Clerk II
Civil/Small Claims Division

Cc: Darryl Dunsmore w/enclosures

### SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SOLANO

### **INITIAL BILLING STATEMENT**

DAT	E:	July 22, 2015	
TO:		Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation	
		County Jail	
(Sup		o Government Code §68635(d) and an <i>Order on</i> Court) filed on <u>July 15, 2015,</u> please forward paymows:	
NAM	E OF II	NMATE:DARRYL DUNSMORE	
INM	ATE NO	): <u>AD6237</u>	
PRIS	ON/JA	IL FACILITY: CALIFORNIA MEDICAL FACILITY	
SOL	ANO C	OUNTY CASE NUMBER: FCS045638	
CAL	CULAT	ION OF INITIAL PARTIAL FILING FEE PAYABLE BY	INMATE:
(1)	Filing	fee: <u>\$ 435.00</u>	
(2)	(a)	Average monthly deposits to inmate's account:	\$ 0
	(b)	Average monthly balance in the inmate's account for the six-month period immediately preceding	
		the application:	<u>\$ 0</u>
(3)	20%	of the greater of (2)(a) or (2)(b):	\$ 0
(4)	INITIA	AL PAYMENT NOW DUE AND PAYABLE:	\$ 0

Please pay amount listed on line (4). Mail payment to:

Superior Court of California, County of Solano Attention: CIVIL/SMALL CLAIMS
Old Solano Courthouse
580 Texas Street
Fairfield, CA 94533

### SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SOLANO



	JUL 2.2 2015		
PLAINTIFF: DARRYL DUNSMORE #AD6237	CASE NO. <u>FCS045638</u>		
DEFENDANT: GMC, LOBEL, VIKING, ET AL.	CLERK'S APPLICATION AND ORDER		
I, the undersigned, employed as a Legal Process Clerk for the vacating default described below.  vacating judgment described below.  vacating answer and/or other responsive complex case determination pursuant to other.	e pleading described below.		
Document: <u>CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET</u>			
Date filed: <u>7/15/15</u>			
Reason: Item #2 of Civil Case Cover Sheet is market	ed "Is" complex.		
I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true at Executed on 7/15/15, at Fairfield, California.  By:	CM CONTROLL OF THE PROPERTY OF		
OR	DER		
Upon consideration of the clerk's application and review of	the court file:		
IT IS ORDERED:			
The default(s) of the defendant(s) named in the	clerk's application is (are) vacated.		
The judgment entered on is vacated.			
The answer and/or other responsive pleading is vacated.			
Case is determined to be complex (CRC 3.403)	Case is determined not to be complex (CRC 3.403).		
Complex Case fees of \$1,000.00 due per defend			
	at in Dept		
Other:			
Dated:	Ale		
	JUDGE		

09-50026-reg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document

### SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SOLANO

[X] 580 Texas Street, Fairfield, CA 94533 [ ] 600 Union Avenue, Fairfield, CA 94533

**CASE NO: FCS045638** 

#### **CERTIFICATE AND AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING**

I, the undersigned, certify under penalty of perjury that I am employed as a deputy clerk of the above-entitled court and am not a party to the within-entitled action; that I served the attached document:

### **CLERK'S APPLICATION AND ORDER**

By causing to be placed a true copy thereof in an envelope which was then sealed and postage fully prepaid on the date shown below; that I am readily familiar with the business practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service; that the above stated document will be deposited in the Superior Court of California, County of Solano's outgoing mailbox for collection by county mail carriers on the date indicated. Said envelope was addressed to the attorneys for the parties, or the parties, as shown below:

DARRYL DUNSMORE #AD6237 CALIFORNIA MEDICAL FACILITY PO BOX 2000 VACAVILLE, CA 95696-2000

Dated: 7/22/15

09-50026-reg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document

Order on Court Fee Waiver

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

(Superior Court)	FILED
Person who asked the court to waive court fees: Name: DARRYL DUNSMORE #AD6237	Clerk of the Superior Court  JUL 1 5 2015
Street or mailing address: CMF PO BOX 2000	A JOL T 2 SOL2
City: VACAVILLE State: CA Zip: 95696-2000	(MMM)
2 Lawyer, if person in 1 has one (name, address, phone number, e-mail, and State Bar number):	DEPUTY CLERK
	Fill in court name and street address: Superior Court of California, County of
	SOLANO
	OLD SOLANO COURTHOUSE
A request to waive court fees was filed on (date): 7/15/2015	580 TEXAS STREET
The court made a previous fee waiver order in this case on (date):	FAIRFIELD, CA 94533
I no court made a provious toe marror order in this case on (units).	Fill in case number and name:
	Case Number:
Read this form carefully. All checked boxes ☑ are court orders.	FCS045638
aeuu mw joim curejuny. An checheu vones 🖾 are court oraers.	Case Name: DUNSMORE V GMC, LOBEL, et al.
Notice: The court may order you to answer questions about your finances and Is	
is a change in your financial circumstances during this case that increases your notify the trial court within five days. (Use form FW-010.) If you win your case to pay the fees. If you settle your civil case for \$10,000 or more, the trial court warmount of the waived fees. The trial court may not dismiss the case until the lie	the trial court may order the other side will have a lien on the settlement in the
4)	equest to Waive Additional Court Fees s shall be made pursuant
a. In the court grants your request, as follows: to Government C	ode §68635."
(1) Eee Waiver. The court grants your request and waives your co Rules of Court, rules 3.55 and 8.818.) You do not have to pay to	
	notice and certificates
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	g papers to another court department
<ul> <li>Sheriff's fee to give notice</li> <li>Court fee for phone hearing</li> </ul>	ppointed interpreter in small claims court
• Reporter's fee for attendance at hearing or trial, if reporter provide	ed by the court
<ul> <li>Assessment for court investigations under Probate Code section 1</li> </ul>	513, 1826, or 1851
• Preparing, certifying, copying, and sending the clerk's transcript of	
<ul> <li>Holding in trust the deposit for a reporter's transcript on appeal un</li> <li>Making a transcript or copy of an official electronic recording unc</li> </ul>	
(2) Additional Fee Waiver. The court grants your request and wa	
and costs that are checked below. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.3 checked items.	
	r a peace officer to testify in court
	appointed interpreter fees for a witness

09-5002	26-reg	Doc 13499	Filed 10/02/15		L5 12:48:45	Main Document
			Pg 4	5 of 81	Case Number	
Your name: _					FCS045638	
b.   The	court de	nies your fee wa	aiver request, as follow	ws:		
<b>W</b> a you	ı filed with	n your original req	dline below, the court ca uest. If the papers were	a notice of appeal, th	ne appeal may be	dismissed.
(1)	The cou	ırt denies your r	request because it is in	complete. You have	ve 10 days after	the clerk gives notice of
		er (see date of sery your fees and e	ervice on next page) t	0:		
			request that includes	the items listed bel	ow (specify inc	omplete items):
(2)	The cou	irt denies your i	request because the inwaiver you requested	formation you prov (specify reasons):	vided on the req	uest shows that you are
·	form F • Pa • As	W-006.You hav y your fees and	a blank Request for E re 10 days after the classification costs in full or the am in order to show the c	erk gives notice of sount listed in c. be	this order (see	Order (Superior Court), date of service below) to:  FW-006 to request
	e court n	eeds more inform	mation to decide whet about (specify question			st go to court on the date
<del></del>						
	Bring	the following pr	oof to support your re	quest if reasonably	available:	
				Name and addre	ss of court if di	fferent from above:
Hearing	→ Date:		Time:			
Date	Dept.:		Room:			
re	quest to ourt cann	waive court fee	s, and you will have 1 court papers you filed	0 days to pay your	fees. If you mis	e judge will deny your ss that deadline, the ere a notice of appeal,
				/ aw	MO	<i>.</i>
Date: <u>7/1:</u>	5/2015	<del></del>	Signature of (che	eck one : J	udicial Officer	X Clerk, Deputy
langu	age inte	rpreter services	ns. Assistive listening are available if you as modation, Form MC-4	k at least 5 days be	fore your heari	me captioning, or sign ng. Contact the clerk's
T		1	Clerk's Certific		oto of mailine	in attached
			ase and <i>(check one):</i> party and attorney, if a	_	cate of mailing indexed (2) at the cou	is attached. irt, on the date below.
				_	-	sses listed in 1 and 2,
from (city): Date: 7/15/201	<b>FAIRFI</b>			rnia on the date belt Clerk, by		, Deputy
	-		This is a Co		)	

	8 - 0 - 1	SUM-100
NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: 6 (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):	MMONS ON JUDICIAL) IC, COLOCK, VILEONS STO	
YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINT (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMA Day)	ANDANTE): IIIDGEHarry S	. Kinnicutt
below. You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this s served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call w case. There may be a court form that you can Online Self-Help Center (www.courlinfo.ca.go the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do may be taken without further warning from the There are other legal requirements. You m referral service. If you cannot afford an attorne these nonprofit groups at the California Legal (www.courlinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contactic costs on any settlement or arbitration award or ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde continuación.  Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al de en formalo legal correcto si desea que process? Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago o podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin má Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendab remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucru (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Acolegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley.	summons and legal papers are served on you to file will not protect you. Your written response must be in use for your response. You can find these court for by/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthou not file your response on time, you may lose the case court.  It is want to call an attorney right away. If you do not any, you may be eligible for free legal services from the ing your local court or county bar association. NOT if \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien methor de 30 dias, la corte puede decidir en su conde que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales mandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no le en su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un form y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Core que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cue de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede advertencia.  In la que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos co. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro yuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca. la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los cualor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión	E: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and nust be paid before the court will dismiss the case. Intra sin escuchar su version. Lea la información a s para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar mulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la vota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte uede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de la para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un en el sitio web de California Legal Services, gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre en de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que
(El nombre y dirección de la corte es): 58	enfield Ca 94533	FCSD45638
(El nombre, la dirección y el número de tele Darry Dusque, re		mandante que no tiene abogado, es):
Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión us  NOTICE T  1	CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.40 (association or partnershi	(POS-010)).  1 (specify):  CCP 416.60 (minor)  CCP 416.70 (conservatee)
4. D	other (specify): y personal delivery on (date):	Dane 1 of 1

つい S09-950026-reg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 47 of 81

Po Box 2000 Vacaille Ca 95696 Fro Se

Clerk of the Superior Court

JUL 1 5 2015

ASSIGNED TO
JUDGE Harry S. Kinnicutt
FOR ALL PURPOSES

By MANUTY GLERN

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CANFORM

Dary / Dusner Plantiff

GMC, Lobel, V. King 84 Al Opterclants Case# FC8045038

Declaration in support
of TRO | INJUNCTION ORDER
IN SUPPORT OF Compley
unlimited civil Complement

I Darry Dinguare plaint. If in the above captioned case state Daclare The parties involved have been Notified by Us Mail as pertains to Defindants GMC, label, V. King of The Carphaint and TRO Multimeter and order to Show Cause

tocalare under puntity of project The baregoing

5/28/15 D-10 -e 6/12/15

1AD6277 6-1-14 PO BOX 2000 Vacaville Ca 95696 Pra Se

ASSIGNED TO
JUDGE Harry S. Kinnicutt FOR ALL PURPOSES

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY Of SOLAND

Daryl Dusmare Plant, If

OMC, cobel, Viking Et Al

1 case # FCS 045638

# Motion for TRO INJUNETIVE Relief

Statement of the Case "This is a civil tort Claim unlimited Complex case Concerning large Corporation and Numerous Detendants who in 2006-2007 conducted themselfs in a Fraudulant Moner to Sell a vehical to the plaintiff known or should have been known to be stolen with Defective parts esther ntially installed by the Defudant Enc or later by un Authorized

of which would exeverate the plaint, if and hold defendant hable

## statement of the facts

1. The plaintiff was noolved in an accident on Dec 3 2007

z. Defendants paid a disclaimen to the witness terry Ram who Declared it an accident

3. Appraiser Report noncates a Duplicate title and indication theat the Vehical May be Stolen or taypered with

M. The Delendants had the aprility prior to the accident to know of the property being Stoler or tempered with

5. The Defendants Manufactured and installed Defective parts and failed to disclose such safty risks and Continue to do so 09-50026-reg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document 16. The how bass D. I Pg 50 of 81 cplay

7. The plaintiff has been wrongly convicted, Personally injured, Lost Luture earning, Property loss and smotion Distress

8. The Debudants St. 11 awn posses have knowledge of Material facts that are Exculpatory in nature in the form of present knowledge written, Recorded, Electoric records, Menes papers or other effects

9. Said above artifact Can Exorerate The plantiff and hold Defendant hable

Argrenat

Because the Defendants can be held both Criminally and Substantially, financially liable Plaint. If Motions this copyrt for a protective order Preventing the Defendants from Destoying any record that may pertain in any form to the allegations in the Cavil Complaint or any other action that Might Make such Records uncivalable, from taking any Displinary or legal action against any employee witness with information Concerning the Civil Complaint and its allegation

I Deline under pualty of purjoy The foregoing

\$\frac{1}{2915} D-10 =
6/12/15 3.53

Гат <b>09л50026г/ Фо</b> нои <b>DOC</b> 2123499 state	iled 10/02/15	CM-0:
AD6237 G-1-14 PO BOX	7000 VePg.51.0f81 650	C. C. TO. TO. TO. TO. TO. TO. TO. TO. TO. TO
	Vacability (4)	76
TELEPHONE NO.	FAX: NO.:	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name) Pru Se	PA. NO.	Clerk of the Superior Court
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF	Solano	Sign of the autherior Count
STREET ADDRESS: 506 texas	STIL	111 4 5 005
MAILING ADDRESS:		UL 1 5 2015
CITY AND ZIP CODE: fa. ~ Feel d	Cu 94533	1 ( \( \lambda \) \( \lambda \) \( \lambda \)
	o Court house	By WWW
CASE NAME:		DECULE OF COMME
DUNSMORE V GMC, LOI	och, Viking Et Al	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Pesignation	CASE NUMBER:
Unlimited Limited	Counter Joinder	1600000
(Amount (Amount	Filed with first appearance by defe	MOOF Harmer C TEL
demanded demanded is exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less		2) DEPT: ,2
	elow must be completed (see instruction	
Check one box below for the case type t		o on page 2).
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
Business tort/unfair business practice (0	7) Cther real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	the switch and the state of the
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
		ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial mana	(	
a. Large number of separately repre		
b. Extensive motion practice raising		with related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consumin		ties, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. Substantial amount of documenta	ary evidence f Substantial p	ostjudgment judicial supervision
. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a	monetary b nonmonetary	declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive
Number of causes of action (specify):		
	,	brack of couract
		CALCAS
If there are any known related cases, file a	and serve a notice of related case. (You r	nay use form Civi-UTO.)
Date: 5/28/15 6/12/13	1	
	unsmare )	) - U - e
(TYPE OF PRINT NAME)	NOTICE	IGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY,
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the file.		g (except small claims cases or cases filed
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or	Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rule	es of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
in sanctions.	about somilard by Land	
<ul> <li>File this cover sheet in addition to any cover.</li> <li>If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et</li> </ul>	er sheet required by local court rule, sed, of the California Rules of Court, you	must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
other portion to the action or proceeding	seq, of the Gamornia Rules of Court, you	musi serve a copy or this cover sheet on all

other parties to the action or proceeding.

other parties to the action or proceeding.

• Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.

Page 1 of 2

DITM Adopted for Mandatory Use
Judicial Council of California

CM-010 (Rev. July 1, 2007)

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET

Ca. Standards of Judicial Administration, stc 2.16

www.countinfc.ca.gov

### INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

the case is complex. Auto Tort Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item r Instead of Auto) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Asbestos (04) Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or toxicienvironmental) (24) Medical Malpractice (45) Medical Malpractice-Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice Other PI/PD/WD (23) Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism) Intentional Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Negligent Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Other PI/PD/WD. Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort **Business Tort/Unfair Business** Practice (07) Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08) Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual Property (19) Professional Negligence (25) Legal Malpractice Other Professional Malpractice

(not medical or legal)

Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Wrongful Termination (36)

Other Employment (15)

Contract Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence) Negligent Breach of Contract/ Warranty Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09) Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18) **Auto Subrogation** Other Coverage Other Contract (37) Contractual Fraud Other Contract Dispute Real Property **Eminent Domain/Inverse** Condemnation (14) Wrongful Eviction (33) Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property Mortgage Foreclosure Quiet Title Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or foreclosure) **Unlawful Detainer** Commercial (31) Residential (32) Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential) Judicial Review Asset Forfeiture (05) Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11) Writ of Mandate (02) Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter Writ-Other Limited Court Case Review Other Judicial Review (39) Review of Health Officer Order Notice of Appeal-Labor

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403) Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic Tort (30) Insurance Coverage Claims (arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41) Enforcement of Judgment Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of County) Confession of Judgment (nondomestic relations) Sister State Judgment Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes Other Enforcement of Judgment Case Miscellaneous Civil Complaint RICO (27) Other Complaint (not specified above) (42) Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (nonharassment) Mechanics Lien Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tortinon-complex) 🙈 Other Civil Complaint (non-tortinon-complex) Miscellaneous Civil Petition Partnership and Corporate Governance (21) Other Petition (not specified above) (43) Civil Harassment Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Election Contest Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief From Late Other Civil Petition

CM-010

Employment

<sup>5</sup> 09-50026-reg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15	5 12 48 45 Main Docume Php-PI-001
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Possolie D	FOR COURT USE ONLY
AUG 237 G-1-14 PO BOX ZOED Vacau. he Con 95696	
	Clerk of the Superior Court
TELEPHONE NO: FAX NO. (Optional):	110 4 - 0045
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):	JUL 1 5 2015
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Pro Su	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Soland	BUDINVYYO
MAILING ADDRESS:	DEPUTY CLERK
CITY AND ZIP CODE CALL OF SIDE CONTROLS	\$435 FWOF
PLAINTIFF: David Durishing	7 4472 (
DEFENDANT: GMCK, Lobel, U. King at se	
	ASSIGNED TO
DOES 1 TO LOO	ASSIGNED TO JUDGE Harry S. Kinnicu
COMPLAINT—Personal Injury, Property Damage, Wrongful Death	FOR ALL DYNAMICE
AMENDED (Number):	FOR ALL PURPOSES
Type (check all that apply):	
MOTOR VEHICLE OTHER (specify):  Property Damage Wrongful Death	
Property Damage Wrongful Death Personal Injury Other Damages (specify):	Name and the second sec
Jurisdiction (check all that apply):	CASE NUMBER
ACTION IS A LIMITED CIVIL CASE	TO ACTION IS A MATTER CONTROL OF
Amount demanded does not exceed \$10,000	A Company of the Comp
exceeds \$10,000, but does not exceed \$25,000	500 Aug. 25
ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (exceeds \$25,000)  ACTION IS RECLASSIFIED by this amended complaint	FCS005V38
from limited to unlimited	
from unlimited to limited	
Plaintiff (name or names): Dury Oursel	
alleges causes of action against defendant (name or names): GMC, Col2s	The state of the s
amogos conscionis, agames acromos promos a management (Co. 126)	1) wiking it a)
This pleading, including attachments and exhibits, consists of the following number of pa	ges:
Each plaintiff named above is a competent adult	
a. except plaintiff (name):	
(1) a corporation qualified to do business in California	411
(2) an unincorporated entity (describe):	
(3) a public entity (describe):	
(4) a minor an adult  (a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardian	dian ad litem has been appointed
(a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or conservator or co	dian ad illen has been appointed
(5) other (specify):	•
b. except plaintiff (name):	
(1) a corporation qualified to do business in California	÷.
(2) an unincorporated entity (describe):	
(3) a public entity (describe):	·
(4) a minor an adult	
(a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guard	lian ad litem has been appointed
(b) other (specify):	
(5) other (specify):	
Information about additional plaintiffs who are not competent adults is shown in Atta	chment 3. Page 1 of 3
orm Approved for Optional Use COMPLAINT—Personal Injury, Property	Code of Civil Procedure, § 425.12

٠.	09-50026-reg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered	10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document			
SH	HORT TITLE: Pg 54 of 81	CASE NUMBER:			
	4				
	Dingrove V GMC ET a				
4. [	Plaintiff (name): Com Williams (specify):				
	and has complied with the fictitious business name laws.	I			
5.	Each defendant named above is a natural person  a. except defendant (name): (a) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	except defendant (name):  (1) a business organization, form unknown  (2) a corporation  (3) an unincorporated entity (describe):			
	(4) a public entity (describe):	(4) a public entity (describe):			
	(5) other (specify):	(5) other (specify):			
	b. except defendant (name):  (1) a business organization, form unknown  (2) a corporation  (3) an unincorporated entity (describe):	except defendant (name):  (1) a business organization, form unknown  (2) a corporation  (3) an unincorporated entity (describe):			
	(4) a public entity (describe):	(4) a public entity (describe):			
	(5) other (specify):	(5) other (specify):			
	Information about additional defendants who are not natural personal	ons is contained in Complaint—Attachment 5.			
6.	The true names and capacities of defendants sued as Does are unknown to plaintiff.				
7.	Defendants who are joined pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure sec	ction 382 are (names):			
8.	8. This court is the proper court because  a. At least one defendant now resides in its jurisdictional area.  b. the principal place of business of a defendant corporation or unincorporated association is in its jurisdictional area.  c. injury to person or damage to personal property occurred in its jurisdictional area.  d. other (specify): Keel Property Thet is the Subject of this action is  leasted have				
9.	Plaintiff is required to comply with a claims statute, and  a. plaintiff has complied with applicable claims statutes, or  b. plaintiff is excused from complying because (specify):	edical neopication			

09-50026-reg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 55 of 81 **PLD-PI-001** CASE NUMBER SHORT TITLE: BMC. The following causes of action are attached and the statements above apply to each (each complaint must have one or more causes of action attached): a. Motor Vehicle General Negligence Intentional Tort T Products Liability **Premises Liability** Franch Other (specify): 11. Plaintiff has suffered a. wage loss loss of use of property hospital and medical expenses general damage property damage loss of earning capacity other damage (specify): word for (onviction The damages claimed for wrongful death and the relationships of plaintiff to the deceased are listed in Attachment 12. as follows: b. 13. The relief sought in this complaint is within the jurisdiction of this court. 14. Plaintiff prays for judgment for costs of suit; for such relief as is fair, just, and equitable; and for a. (1) compensatory damages (2) punitive damages The amount of damages is (in cases for personal injury or wrongful death, you must check (1)): (1) according to proof (2) in the amount of: \$ 15. The paragraphs of this complaint alleged on information and belief are as follows (specify paragraph numbers): 6/12/15

09-50026-reg - Doc 13499 - Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document The Sheriff must have original, signed instructions by the attempt of party without attorney in accordance with CCP 262 and 687.010 www.sdsheriff.net/csb courtlocations.html

www.susherm.newcsb courds autonomination	
Dany Durshage vs GMC Cabel Viking Ctal  Plaintiff  Case Number	:(; er
Fo the Sheriff, you are instructed to serve the following documents:	
Summons and Complaint/Petition Order to Show Cause Claim of Plaintiff/Defendant (Small Claims) Order to Appear Civil Bench Warrant Other	
☐Writ of Possession for Real Property (Eviction)	_
Agent to Contact for Eviction:  Are there any officer safety concerns that you are aware of?  Daytime Phone ( ) Ext:  Yes No Gate Code:  Explain:	
Agent for Service (if applicable)  Address: Viking 7878 Clair must Bluel  City Sur Diego Ca State CA Zip 921  Gate Code (if applicable) Best time to attempt service at this address:	
Description: (If applicable)  SEX  DOB  AGE  HT  WT  HAIR  EYES   DISTINGUISHING MARKS SCARS OR TATTOOS  DRIVER'S LICENSE # AN	RACE ND STATE
Name of Employer (if applicable) Leston General  Employer's Address 5230 Les Virgeres Rol  City Calabasas State CA Zip91307  Best time to attempt service at employer	?-34 <b>v</b> p
Special Instructions	
The Sheriff's Department DOES NOT guarantee service.  The Sheriff's Department DOES NOT guarantee service.  The Sheriff's Department is entitled to its fees whether the service is completed or not. (California Government Code 2673  All communications, refunds and collections will be made to the name and address listed below  Name of attorney (or party without attorney) requesting service	38)
Address Po Box 2000 City Vacauille Ca	Zip 45696
Telephone Number ( ) Fax Number ( )	
Email address	
Signature	
HEARING DATE LAST DAY TO SERVE FEE WAIVER ATTACHED? Y	И

09-50026-reg ~ Dec:13499 - Filed:10/02/15 Entered:10/13/15:12:48:45 Main Document The Sheriff must have original, signed instructions by the alterger or party without attorney in accordance with CCP 262 and 687.010 www.sdsheriff.nevcsb countrocations.html To the Sheriff, you are instructed to serve the following documents: ☑Summons and Complaint/Petition Order to Show Cause Claim of Plaintiff/Defendant (Small Claims) Order to Appear Civil Bench Warrant Other Writ of Possession for Real Property (Eviction) Daytime Phone. Agent to Contact for Eviction: Gate Code: Explain: PARTY TO BE SERVED: Agent for Service (if applicable): Address: HDFC CHUBB General issurance Company Cinited 5th Fl Best time to attempt service at this address: (If applicable) DISTINGUISHING MARKS SCARS OF TATTOOS Name of Employer (if applicable) \_\_\_\_ G MC Cor P Employers Address 8585 E Frank Cloyd wight Blud

City Scotts dale State AAZ Zip 85260 Best time to attempt service at employer Special Instructions ""NOTICE TO REQUESTOR" The Sheriff's Department DOES NOT guarantee service The Sheriff's Department is entitled to its fees whether the service is completed or not. (California Government Code 26738) All communications, refunds and collections will be made to the name and address listed below State PO BOX

Name of attorney (or party without attorney) requesting service Address Fax Number. ( Telephone Number. ( Email address Signature .....SHERIFF'S USE ONLY... HEARING DATE LAST DAY TO SERVE FEE WAIVER ATTACHED? C . (Per Al 1/2005)

### 09r60926r Aug nave taginal, styres instributes by (N2dite hey de parametrial data) in accordance on a total data and the property of the prope

Day (Dusnar Plaintiff	vs GMC Lobe Vilung S	Case Number
To the Sheriff, you are instructed to s	erve the following documents:	
Summons and Complaint/Petition Order to Show Cause Claim of Plaintiff/Defendant (Small Claim Claim to Appear Civil Bench Warrant Other	nims)	
☐Writ of Possession for Real Prope	rty (Eviction)	
Agent to Contact for Eviction:	Daytime	e Phone: ( ) Ext:
Are there any officer safety concerns the Explain:	at you are aware of? ☐Yes ☐No	Gate Code:
Agent for Service (if applicable)  Address: 1150 Magnol	sbc(	
	Best time to attempt	
	AGE HT WT AGUISHING MARKS, SCARS OR TATTOOS	HAIR EYES RACE  /
Name of Employer (if applicable)		
1.		· ·
	ot service at employer	
Special Instructions:		
The Sheriff's Department is entitle  All communication  Name of attorney (or party without attorney) reques	****NOTICE TO REQUESTOR****  The Sheriff's Department DOES NOT guarantee ser in the service is completed or not in the service is completed or not in the service is completed or not in the service.	t. (California Government Code 26738)
Address Duns	(a) AD6237 (3) (City	- ZZY State: Zip
PO BOX 2000	- Vacc	wille ca 95696
Telephone Number: ( )	Fax Number: (	)
Email address		
Signature	Date: 5	28/15 6/12/15
HEARING DATE		WAIVER ATTACHED? Y N

The Spertiful 26 have gorig DelCs beet Destructions of the Spertiful Properties of Party Without atterney in accordance with CCP 262 and 687.010 (For levy under Writ of Attachment, please contact the Speriff's Civil Division for additional requirements) entitles www.sdsheriff.netrcsb courtiocations.html

	VS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Plaintiff		Defendant	
Court Case Number		Levying Officer File Number	
the Sheriff, you are instructed to execute a: (One lev	vv per instruction ONLY):		
BANK LEVY  Levy is for any and all accounts of the Judgment Including but not limited to account(s)			
Levy is to be limited to account(s):			
☐THIRD PARTY LEVY ☐Levy is for all funds owed to the Judgment Debto ☐Levy is to be limited to:	or(s) by the Third Party.		
RENT LEVY (Levy is for all rents due during the 2 year	ar lien period) Service will	be made on any <b>Tenant in</b>	Possession
PERSONAL PROPERTY LEVY (The Sheriff is direct			
Address where personal property is located (Property in	nust be in a public place or a ser	arate "Break-in" order issued by th	ne court is required
TILL TAP (Levy on contents of all cash receptacles in	n a going business)	the boundaries for the o	
KEEPER-CASH ONLY (Levy on all cash and cash equivalent KEEPER-CASH AND TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTIES (Requires a minus)	PERTY (Levy on all cash and on the primum fee deposit of \$1,500 pe	cash equivalent of a going busines nding further quotation)	ss AND seize and s
Keeper is to be installed for ☐8, ☐12. ☐24 hou	rs each day (excluding we	ekends) forda	ev(s).
Note If ee waiver does not apply to the fee for the keeper(s) Name(s) of Judgment Debtor(s) whose property is subject.	Keeper fees MUST be paid in ect to this levy (include the de	n advance btor's social security number, if ki	nown/applicable)
SERVE LEVY UPON:			
Address:	,		
City:	O	: CA Zip:	
Special Instructions:			_
The Sheriff's Department is entitled to its fees whether All communications, refunds and collections.	rtment DOES NOT guarantee s er the service is completed or ions will be made to the na	iot. (California Government Coc	de 26738) ow:
Name of attorney (or party without attorney) requesting service			
Address	City:	State:	Zip:
Telephone Number: ( )	Fax Number: (	)	
Email Address:			
gnature	Date		

### 09-50026-reg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 60 of 81

This form asks the court to waive additional court fees that are not covered in a current order. If you have not already received an order that waived or reduced your court fees, you must complete and file a Request to Waive Court Fees (Superior Court), form FW-001, along with this form.  1 Your Information (person asking the court to waive the fees):  Name:	Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.
Street or mailing address: PO BOX 2000  City: Vaccov Va State: Cc Zip: 95696  Phone number:  2 Your lawyer, if you have one (name, firm or affiliation, address, phone number, and State Bar number):	Fill in court name and street address:  Superior Court of California, County of Solano Old Solano Coorthoose 580 Texas ST San Sield Cu 94533
<ul> <li>a. The lawyer has agreed to advance all or a portion of your fees or costs (check one):  Yes No</li> <li>b. (If yes, your lawyer must sign here): Lawyer's signature:  If your lawyer is not providing legal-aid type services based on your low hearing to explain why you are asking the court to waive the fees. </li> <li>3 Date your last court fee waiver order, if any, was granted:</li> </ul>	Fill in case number and case name:  Case Number:  Case Name:  Ourshare V GMC, loke, Viking et al
Has your financial situation improved since your last Request to Waive Courmust fill out a new Request to Waive Court Fees, form FW-001, and attach i  What other fees do you want your court fee waiver order to cover? (Check a a.	t to this form.)  Il that apply):
Notice: The court may order you to answer questions about your finances and late fees. If this happens and you do not pay, the court can make you pay the fees and is a change in your financial circumstances during this case that increases your absorbify the trial court within five days. (Use form FW-010.) If you win your case, to pay the fees. If you settle your civil case for \$10,000 or more, the trial court with amount of the waived fees. The trial court may not dismiss the case until the lien is declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California theoret.  Date:  Date:  Sign here	also charge you collection fees. If there ility to pay fees and costs, you must the trial court may order the other side Il have a lien on the settlement in the is paid.

Judicial Council of California, www.courtinfo.ca.gov Revised July 1, 2009, Mandatory Form Government Code, § 68511.5 California Rules of Court, Rule 3.51 Request to Waive Additional Court Fees (Superior Court)

FW-002, Page 1 of 1

American LegalNet, Inc. www.FormsWorkflow.com 09-50026-reg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 61 of 81

PLD-C-001(1)
CASE NUMBER:
of Contract
Lobel, Vilcing
Attachment BC-1 see as follows (specify):
in Attachment BC-2 Ithe following acts  plaintiff To purchuse and  neve unauthorized wonte  STolen
igations plaintiff was prevented or
ris breach of the agreement  Scree present injury emotional  rains wroughol Conviction
ute
i i

	PLD-PI-001(5)
RT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
Dursmore V GMC ET A	(
	I—Products Liability Page
(number)	- Toda oto Blaziniy Tago
ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint	aint
(Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)	
Plaintiff (name): Dary Cursus	
Prod. L-1. On or about (date): Dec 3 7007	plaintiff was injured by the following product: $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}$
2001 SAVANA VAN SIE	
Prod. L-2. Each of the defendants knew the product would be put.  The product was defective when it left the control of was being	
used in the manner intended by the defendant used in the manner that was reasonably forest readily apparent. Adequate warnings of the defendant used in the manner intended by the defendant used in the manner that was reasonably forest used in the manner that was reasonable to the manner than the manner than the manner that was reasonable to the manner than the manner than the manner that was reasonable to the manner than the manner	seeable by defendants as involving a substantial danger not
Prod. L-3. Plaintiff was a	anger were not given.
purchaser of the product.	user of the product.
bystander to the use of the product.	other (specify):
a. manufactured or assembled the pro	
b designed and manufactured compar	
b. Compor	nent parts supplied to the manufacturer (names): 6 M ('
Does	to 100
c. sold the product to the public (name	
Does\	to
	fendants who owed a duty to plaintiff (names): V, K, vg <
Does	to
	ollowing defendants (names):
a. who breached an implied warranty	to <u>10 c</u>
b. who breached an express warranty	
written oral	
Prod. L-7. The defendants who are liable to plaintiffs for o	other reasons and the reasons for the liability are
listed in Attachment-Prod. L-7 🕍 as	other reasons and the reasons for the liability are s follows: TREBIE Dance as Depulse

09-50026-reg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 63 of 81

	PLD-PI-001(1)
SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
Dusmare V GMC, Lobel, V, K	, ng
CAUSE OF ACTION—	Motor Vehicle
(number)	
ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint	
(Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)	
Plaintiff (name): Dury Duryname	
MV- 1. Plaintiff alleges the acts of defendants were negligent; the acts v	vere the legal (proximate) cause of injuries
and damages to plaintiff; the acts occurred	
on (date): 2007 Dec 3	
at (place): East 5Th St Nation	161 City Ck 91950
MV- 2. DEFENDANTS	
a. The defendants who operated a motor vehicle are (na	mes):
Does to	
b. The defendants who employed the persons who open	ated a motor vehicle in the course of their employment
are (names):	1,732
Does to	
c. Less The defendants who owned the motor vehicle which w	vas operated with their permission are (names): (いんと) ぐて
Does to	
d. The defendants who entrusted the motor vehicle are (	names): V. King Ctal
	,
Does to	<u> </u>
e.   The defendants who were the agents and employees of the agency were (names): しんしょ しんく	of the other defendants and acted within the scope
The state of the s	
Does ( to \C \cap )	
f. The defendants who are liable to plaintiffs for other rea	 sons and the reasons for the liability are
listed in Attachment MV-2f as follows:	The Defendants knowingly allower
Accordant adult Per Por	al Code 368 to oprate on esolting in a wrongel Conviction
stolen Defective product R	resulting in a wron'ful Conviction
Does to	_
	Page

Page 1 of 1

Durmare v GMC 8+ AC

\_\_ Cursi of Nation - Franci

Attachment to Complaint

FR-1 Plaintiff Dury Dunsmore

Alleges that Octordant GMC, label, Uiking, ET AL On or about 2006-2007 Detraded plaintiff as fullows

FR-7 & intertional er Nogligent Misropresentation a. Deludant Made Representation of Material fact as fallows that the Valued being sold was legal, safe free from Defect or un Arthurize workmarsh.p

b. These representations were in fact false The Troth was as fallows

The Vahical was manufactured with Defective parts Stolen, and illegal with UN Authorized parts or workene ship performed on The product

C. When Ochendant Mude These representation

Delendant knew they were feder or Detreving The Detendant had No reasonable ground for believing The Representations were true

d. Deheviant Made the representations with The intent to dehrand not induce plaintiff to act as Described in Item FR-5 At The time plaintiff acted plaintiff did not know The Representations were false and believed They were true Plaintit acted in justifiable reliance you the truth of The Kyresulations

1 Dunsmare v BMC, Lobel, Viking STAI

Cause of Action - fraud

FR3 12 Concal ment

9. Defendant Concealed or Surpress Material fasts as Sallows

Parts or UN Authorized workmarship

b. Detendant Concealed or surpressed Material Lacts

Bl Detendant was bound to Disclose

Plaint. If and prevent plaint. If from Discovering
The Concealed or Surpressed Sucts

C. Ochedant Concealed or surpressed These Suits with the intent to Detrand and notice plaintiff to act as Described in ITAM FR-5 At The true Plaintiff acted plaintiff was unaware of the Concealed or surpressed facts and would not have taken the action if plaintiff had known the facts

Number

Cause of Action - Fraud

FR-4 B Promise without intent to Perform

A. Delandart Mude a promise about a Metherical Mether
with out any intention of Performing it FR4(a) as fallows
that the Vehical was safe from Defect, Part or
Pear workmaship and legal

b. Defendants promise without my inhertion of Performagined was much with the notat to detraval and include plaintiff to rely upon it and to act upon it as Described in Item FK-5 At the time plaintiff acted plaintiff was unaware of Defendants intultion not to Perform the promise Plaintiff acted in Justificiable reliance upon the Promise

FR-5 Ed the Losifiable reliance you odudants conduct Plaintiff was notuced to act as fallows

To operate en unsufe Vehicel That was stolen with Delective parts and in Authorized workmarship

FR-6 A Bécause the plaint. If reliance upon Udenlant conduct Plaint. If has been transpect as fullows wrong ful Conv. ct. on, lost Property, Emotional Distress Personal injury, Lost Soture Earnings

09-50026-reg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/	/15 12:48:45 Main Doophing (2)
Dursmore V GMC ST Al	
CAUSE OF ACTION—Genera	al Negligence Page
ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint	
(Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)	
GN-1. Plaintiff (name): Day ( Dwyno, 4	
alleges that defendant (name): らん( しつしてし	U, King
Does to( O O	
was the legal (proximate) cause of damages to plaintiff. By the following a negligently caused the damage to plaintiff	acts or omissions to act, defendant

on (date): 2007

at (place): Viking resource Lobel financial

(description of reasons for liability):

the Ochadants willfully or negligibly sold und nsured a Motor Vehical to the plaintiff that they should have known was manifectured with Ochective Parts by Defendant GMC or that a Dupicate title existed and that The Vehical was possibly Stolen and un-Authorized workmonskip or Parts were nustreed and failed Report such issues to Authorities placing Plaintill at Risk of injury and Death or other Lichlities That resulted on occ 3 2007 in The form of on accident and wrongful Conviction and That the accident and wrongful Conviction and That the Defendant adult Defendant Caused person injury To a Dependent adult with in the meaning of Penal Code 368 and Continue. To Cover these facts and Cause Plaintiff To be held incorrected and wrongfully convicted

09-50026-reg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pa 68 of 81 PLD-PI-001(3)

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER
DUNSMOR V GMC ET AL	
CAUSE OF ACTION—Intentiona	l Tort Page
(number)  ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint	
(Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)  IT-1. Plaintiff (name): Our	ng ET Al

was the legal (proximate) cause of damages to plaintiff. By the following acts or omissions to act, defendant intentionally caused the damage to plaintiff

Dec 3 2007 on (date): at (place): East STI ST

(description of reasons for liability):

The Defendants willfully Manufactured or Sold knowingly Defective Vehicals Manufactured with Ocfective Parts. The Détendants knew of Should have known the Vehicul had Détective Parts when Sold To plaintiff because a Duplicate title existed the Octendents further sold and INSURCO The Vehical knowing it was stolen and possible Defective workmuship or Ports were involved upon such knowledg willfully Sought to Cover up such information and failed to contact authorities Resulting in Plaintiffs injuries and wrongful Conviction

. , ,	09-50026-reg Doc 13499 Filed 10/	02/15 Entered Pg 69 of 81	10/13/15 12:48:45	Main Document PLD-PI-001(6)
SHORT	TITLE:  DUSMON V GMC  Examplary E	CT AL	chment .	Page
		ss - Complaint	, U. King	it al
	Plaintiff alleges defendant was guilty of malice fraud oppression as defined in Civil Code section 3294, and plate make an example of and to punish defendations.	intiff should recover, in nt.	addition to actual damag	es, damages

Ex.2. The facts supporting plaintiffs claim are as follows: The Defendents known or Should have known that the Vehicul was Defective and Manufactured with Defective parts and that a Duplicate Title existed and or that the Vehicul May have Stolen telective parts, Mechanical Problems or other Stolen telective parts, Mechanical Problems or other Defects and that Defendents willfully and fraudulatly covered up thuse facts or failed to Disclose Resulting in personal injury, loss of property, incurrection, wrongful Conviction and that the Defendent knew the plaintiff was a Dependent adult that they have appressed the plaintiff by with holding exceptatory Evidence to appress the plaintiff and keep him incurcented and wrongly Conviced

EX-3. The amount of exemplary damages sought is

a. \_\_\_\_ not shown, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 425.10.

5 Trable Durage

10 Box 2000
Vacaville Ca 95696

Pg 70 of 81

Clerk of the Superior Court

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT STATE OF CALLFORNIA COUNTY OF SOLAND Court 7050451038

Darry 1 D'ursnare Plaintiff

OMC, LOBRE VIKING ETAIL
Défendants

Attachnes in sipport of UNCIMITED CIVIL Action

1087

Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 71 of 81 correspondence label financial - - - 1 Page Attachment (c) Correspondence General Mostors Corp - - 1 Page Attachnut (S) Claim estimate -Attachnuf (9) Value skope Market report - - - 16 pages table of Centurts TRO Motion - - - - - - - 7 Pages order to Shou Cause - - - - 7 Page letter to clerk. for retraction heaving Date - - - Page Declaration in support of TRO - - - 1 Page

> 6/12/15 5/28/15 DAD ~

09-50026-reg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 72 of 81

Serv. Le Request #71-1475390686

RS! Correspondence May 14 2015

Darry Dursmore \$76277

G-2-224 Po Box 2000

Vacav.lle Ca 95696

Because of the Farlow of My GMC Velical

I an inable to Correspond by telephone as I

have been wrongly Goverted behind The Mechanical

have been wrongly Goverted behind The Mechanical

farlowe of My Velical and an in prison Secking

farlowe of My Velical and an in prison Secking

farlowe of My Velical and an in prison Secking

farlowe of My Velical was harfactured with

Parts that My Velical was harfactured with

I have been forced to file Civil litigation

and request that This correspondence be forwarded

to your legal Dept for processing of Discovery

and interpositories

5/29/15

09-50026-reg Doc 13499— Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document | Pg 73 of 81

	SUPERIC COURT	OF CALIFOR	RNIA, COUNTY O	F F T DIEGO	U	
DATE 5-9-08	AT 9:00 A M.	HON. THE	ODORE M. WEAT	HERS	JUDGE DEPT	· 41
MH# 102411	CASE # CS218128	CS215653	M042269			
PROS. # BBA965	01 BBA01401 MISD					
CLERK GLORIA	A CARRILLO		RITA OTERO RTER'S ADDRESS: PO	BOX 120128, SAN [		3313 2-0128
IN THE MATTER OF				LIAMS, DDA &		
DARRYL DUNS!						TIORNET
AKA: DARRYL L	EE DUNSMORE			ELENA EPLEY TORNEY (APPOINT)		
INTERPRETER		sworn/		NGUAGE	EDIKE TAINED)	
TYPE OF PROCEEDIN	IG					
☑ DETERMINATION	OF MENTAL COMPETENCY	(PC1368/1372)		NTALLY DISABL		
OTHER				REMOVE FIREAF		ION (WI 8103)
□ Jury trial requested     □ Counsel stipulate: re     □ Dr(s).  AFTER HEARING P     □ Court finds defendated Superior Court, see CUSTODY STATUS     □ Trailling Case    □ Patton State     For:    ☑ Maximum s     □ Defendant court authority Hospital) to invote treating psychiated □ Court orders criminated Court orders criminated States    □ Court orders criminated States    □ Court finds RESPC    □ Court finds RESPC    □ Court finds RESPC    □ danger to self or other courts.	CONTINUED for further the waived based on Counsel/M for PC 1368 proceeding. Seport received into evidence; Draw III Seport received into evidence into e	r. DAVID NAIM  72/1385: and trial; orders to stand trial; orders to stand trial; orderedit Time Serve to satipsychotic medication to the state of California to PC 1370.2. Die the State of California to the state of California the state of Cali	tations.  MARK  that criminal proceed  Court reinstates bat Case# ders defendant comes, PSU Other ad 56 days. Six years. Cleedication.  County Jail's Psych the defendant when antipsychotic medicatefendant RELEASE	is qualified; and dings are reinstate II in amount of Ball \$ Ball \$ Ball \$ Remainder Ball \$ Remainder Ball \$ Remainder Ball \$	d doctor's pres sworn and ed; remands D \$ D; of previously intrar of Voters. alts and Patton ed by the defendant involuntary multiply retarded; onger than one	refendant to NO BAIL.  imposed term.  State adant's rily.
with the STA	TE DEPARTMENT OF DEVELO	OPMENTAL SE	RVICES ∐ina ∏inlN	BOARD AND CA IDEPENDENT LIV	KE FACILITY VING	
which is proper a	inds to be the least restrictive ty and adequate for the protection NDENT IS NOT within the scop	and safety of ot	hers and the wellare	e of the responder	nt.	reatment; and
	SUANT TO WI 8103: Motio				is removed.	DOJ notified.
MOTHER: Court ord	ers deft. to be seen by appro ifically ordered to address an	priate dr's. in ja	ail fo rhis medical o	cond as stated by	y Dr. S. Henni	lgan dated
FUTURE DATES:						<del></del>
☐ Forensic examina	sons noted above to a tion in Room 1003 on	_at				
Case(s) set in Ce Readiness on Further Proceedi	FF ORDERED TO PRODUC entral	Fast County [	South County	Division for: am on at in Dept	in De <sub>l</sub>	pt
OTHER:						
Attest a true copy.	Dated: <u>05-09-08</u>		CLERK, by g. carrillo		, Dep	outy Clerk
Distribution by: 5 ga	C Dan Den. L	」 ∩ (()	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			

SECTION 1 Complete this information to request medical attention.
Print Name: Darry Dunswore Bkg #: 9701989 Housing Unit: (C DOB: 7/12/67
Medical Services GBDF EMDF VDF I am requesting Mental Health Services SDCJ LCDF Other Dental Services DDF SBDF
Reason for request for health services: Another Bridge Droke on other side
I authorize and request the San Diego County Sheriff's Medical and Mental Health Services, its physicians, psychiatrists, dentists contracted agents, and medical personnel to administer and perform any and all medical and dental examinations, treatments, and diagnostic procedures deemed advisable or necessary.
I understand that the Sheriff's Department shall charge me \$3.00 for any routine sick call visit that I initiate and that this charge will be deducted from my account during the current or future stays in jail. I also understand that I shall not be denied medical care if I am unable to pay the \$3.00 fee.
Signed:
SECTION 2 FOLLOW-UP INFORMATION
(This section is to be completed by Medical Staff Only) Charge: ☐ Yes ☐ No
Authorized signature ID# Date: Charge posted by Date
If no charge, explain: Amount collected: [ \$3.00 [ \$
Date Request Received: Date Seeing 3 1 2009  1. SEEN IN HUR
5. NO FURTHER COMPLAINTS. Patient advised to submit another Sick Call Request Slip if problem recurs.  "S"ubjective
Chief Complaint:
"O"bjective: VON atl Schall at Sl
"A"ssessment Nursing Diagnosis:
"P"lan: Rx GIVEN as per SNP MD RN DDS Psych/Mental Health Specialist Other
PATIENT EDUCATION AND ADDITIONAL INFO:
SIGNATURE: ID#
SAN DIEGO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT DDF GBDF/EMDF LCDF SBDF SDCJ VDF
MEDICAL SERVICES DIVISION SICK CALL REQUEST Patient's Name:
D.O.B:
Form J212 Rev 11/01 Booking Number Date (MM-DD-YY)

SECTION 1 Complete this information to request medical attention.
Print Name:
Medical Services GBDF EMDF VDF  I am requesting Mental Health Services SDCJ LCDF Other  Dental Services DDF SBDF
Reason for request for health services: IN Pain Need Tosth Removed
I authorize and request the San Diego County Sheriff's Medical and Mental Health Services, its physicians, psychiatrists, dentists contracted agents, and medical personnel to administer and perform any and all medical and dental examinations, treatments, and diagnostic procedures deemed advisable or necessary.
I understand that the Sheriff's Department shall charge me \$3.00 for any routine sick call visit that I initiate and that this charge will be deducted from my account during the current or future stays in jail. I also understand that I shall not be denied medical care if I am unable to pay the \$3.00 fee.
Signed:
SECTION 2 FOLLOW-UP INFORMATION
(This section is to be completed by Medical Staff Only)  Charge:   Yes   No
Authorized signature ID# Date: Charge posted by Date
If no charge, explain: Amount collected: \$\infty\$ \$3.00 \$\infty\$ \$\in
JUL 1 6 2009
SECTION 3 Date Request Received: Date Seen:
1. SEEN IN HUR Chart reviewed 2. NOT SEEN DUE TO: Court Visit Released No Show/Refused Other Rescheduled 3. Non-medical problem referred to: 4. Seen previously for same non-medical request, (tennis shoes, blankets, etc.). No further evaluation. 5. NO FURTHER COMPLAINTS. Patient advised to submit another Sick Call Request Slip if problem recurs.
"S"ubjective Chief Complaint: The pain meds you are on should over your dental pain
"O"bjective: until seen by melical/destal.
"S"ubjective Chief Complaint: The pain meds you are on should over your dental pain "O"bjective: until seen by medical dental.  "A"ssessment Nursing Diagnosis:  RNL 895
"P"lan: Rx GIVEN as per SNP
PATIENT EDUCATION AND ADDITIONAL INFO:
SIGNATURE: ID#
SAN DIEGO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT  MEDICAL SERVICES DIVISION  SICK CALL REQUEST  DDF GBDF/EMDF LCDF SBDF SDCJ VDF  Patient's Name:
D.O.B:
Form J212 Rev 11/01 Booking Number Date (MM-DD-YY)

ERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO DUNTY COURTHOUSE, 220 W. BROADWAY, SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-381- DE COUNTY DIVISION, 325 S. MELROSE DR., VISTA, CA 92081-6695 AS. JOUNTY DIVISION, 250 E. MAIN ST., EL CAJON, CA 92020-3941 DUTH COUNTY DIVISION, 500 3RD AVE., CHULA VISTA, CA 91910-5649		MICHAEL M. RODDY Clerk of the Superior Court  January 26, 2009
PLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  VS.	PLAINTIFF.	By, Deputy
RYL DUNSMORE  N. DARRYL LEE DUNSMORE	DEFENDANT	MH MH 102411
ORDER FINDING DEFENDANT MENTALLY COMPETER (PC 1370)	NT	CRIMINAL CASE NUMBER MO 42/2009 CS 218128 01; CS 215653 01 BBA96501; BBA01401

loubt having arisen as to the defendant's mental competency during the pendency of the proceedings, and the adant having been certified to the Superior Court for a determination of the question; the matter having come before the t this date, therefore,

After examination and hearing, consideration of testimony and written reports of the examiners, the Court finds the ndant mentally competent.

DERED that the defendant be remanded to the San Diego Superior Court, South County Division, the court in which ina charges are pending.

ring type READINESS CONFERENCE on 1-29-09 at 8:30AM, in Department 16.

itional Hearing: Hearing type PRELIMINARY HEARING on 2-4-09 at 8:30AM. in Department 16.

3 FURTHER ORDERED that the Sheriff of San Diego County deliver the defendant to said hearings.

le: January 26, 2009

HONORABLE FREDERICK

Judge of the Superior Court

EPLEY, SELENA

rill (2)

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

The foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of the original on file in this office.

> MICHAEL M. RODDY CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

JUDGMENT OF MENTAL INCOMPETENCY AND ORDER FOR COMMITMENT Pages 0 = FINE

UPCT MH-23(Rev. 3-06)

SECTION 1 Complete this information to request medical attention.
Print Name: Darry Dunsmore Bkg#: 9701989 Housing Unit: 60 DOB: 9/1267
Medical Services GBDF EMDF VDF I am requesting Mental Health Services SDCJ LCDF Other Dental Services DDF SBDF
Reason for request for health services: for Oraje with Tool was be
I authorize and request the San Diego County Sheriff's Medical and Mental Health Services, its physicians, psychiatrists, dentists contracted agents, and medical personnel to administer and perform any and all medical and dental examinations, treatments, and diagnostic procedures deemed advisable or necessary.
I understand that the Sheriff's Department shall charge me \$3.00 for any routine sick call visit that I initiate and that this charge will be deducted from my account during the current or future stays in jail. I also understand that I shall not be denied medical care if I am unable to pay the \$3.00 fee.
Signed: Date:
SECTION 2 FOLLOW-UP INFORMATION
(This section is to be completed by Medical Staff Only)  Charge:  Yes No
Authorized signatureID#Date:Charge posted byDate
If no charge, explain: Amount collected: \$3.00 \$\\$
SECTION 3 Date Request Received: Date Seen:
1. SEEN IN HUR Chart reviewed 2. NOT SEEN DUE TO: Court Visit Released No Show/Refused Other Rescheduled 3. Non-medical problem referred to: 4. Seen previously for same non-medical request, (tennis shoes, blankets, etc.). No further evaluation. 5. NO FURTHER COMPLAINTS. Patient advised to submit another Sick Call Request Slip if problem recurs.
"S"ubjective Chief Complaint:
"O"bjective:
"A"ssessment Nursing Diagnosis:
"P"lan: Rx GIVEN as per SNP  DSCHEDULED FOR SICK CALL WITH: MD PND DDS  Psych/Mental Health Specialist
☐ PATIENT EDUCATION AND ADDITIONAL INFO:
☐ PATIENT EDUCATION AND ADDITIONAL INFO:
Cheduled for Sick Call With:   MD   MP   DDS   Psych/Mental Health Specialist   Other   PATIENT EDUCATION AND ADDITIONAL INFO:   TO   Cheducation   DDS   Cheducation   Cheducation   DDS   Cheducation   Ched
☐ PATIENT EDUCATION AND ADDITIONAL INFO:

09-50026-reg Doc 13499 Filed 10/02/15 Entered 10/13/15 12:48:45 Main Document Pg 79 of 81

SAN DIEGO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

DETENTION FACILITIES
INMATE REQUEST (PETICION DEL REO)
SECTION I Complete the following information: (Llene la siguiente información)  Facility:   CDF DDF EMDF GBDF LCDF SBDF VDF  (Carcel) Name:  (Nombre) Booking #:  (Número)  Date of Birth:  (Fecha de Nacimiento)  (Locación)
Refer to instructions on the back of this form. Select one of the following:  Refierase a las instrucciones al revez de esta forma. Seleccione uno de los siguientes.  I have a Request for the following:  (Tengo una Petición a lo siguiente):
Need Address to where Bheriff Kullender, Bill Gore Recieve Mail Thank You
Signature: Date and Time: 7/23/09/3PM (Fecha y hora)
SECTION III RESPONSE BY DETENTION FACILITY STAFF ONLY

SECTION III	RESPONSE BY DETENTION FACILITY STAFF ONLY				
Forwarded to:	Shariff's	A )	Date:		Time:
	Shariff,	Administa,	, Cour		
	9621	Ridgehaven Digo CA	CT.		
	San	Diego CA	92123-	2222	
		,	10		
Completed by:				_ Date: _	

### INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE OF THIS FORM

1. REQUESTS

You may use this form when you have a request that has not been satisfied by speaking with the housing deputy.

#### INSTRUCCIONES PARA EL USO DE ESTA FORMA

1. PETICIONES

Usted puede usar esta forma cuando tenga algún próblema o petición que no fué resuelta por el Deputy de Piso.

JOBS PAGO

Main Document Page: 1 of 2 Fifett 10/02/15 unExtered 16/13/15 12:48:45 09-50026-reg Doc 13499

Pd 81 8787

Inmate Grievance Report Grievance # 94002062



Run Date: 22-DEC-2009 Run Time: 07:42

Grievance Num: 94002062 Grievance Dt: 18-DEC-09 Booknum: 9701989 DUNSMORE, DARRYL

Subject1: OTHR

Subject2:

Subject3:

Subject4:

Fac: 1 Area: 7 Hu: B Location: SDCJ 7B

Summary: Inmate grievance is complaining about the time he is released from disciplinary isolation/lockdown status.

Inmate believes he should be released at 0230 hours, instead of the hearing report time of 2300 on 12-18-09

#94024402

Action Dt: 18-DEC-09 Action Ofcr Name: LATIMER

Action Taken: I asked Sgt Storton to print up the hearing report from Sgt. Zucker. I gave the inmate the hearing report and explained the situation to the inmate.

Narrative Text:

N/A